

UFO TIMES

PRICE £2.00

NUMBER 31 ISSN 0958-4846



Editorial

Doing Ufology

BUFORA has reached a crossroads. Decisions have to be made as to which direction this Association should go. For some time the cracks have been showing, that we as a UFO research organisation have been somewhat lacking in adhering to our very important aims and objectives.

The BUFORA Council has been lethargic in realising that we are simple not 'Doing Ufology'. There are many reasons for this. But to be frank and honest, we have been too busy arguing amongst ourselves to find time for the Association.

But times are a changing.

Over the summer there has been a Council reshuffle where I have been given the job to overhaul BUFORA. From top to bottom if necessary; dragging it into the nineties.

I am determined to see that we will 'do ufology' first and foremost and give the membership extra value for money. The most urgent requirement is to revitalise the research department.

Admittedly, BUFORA has and continues to develop important research projects but has failed to deliver the 'bread and butter' aspects of research; such as regular case evaluations, processing and analysing photographic evidence and acting as a vital support to investigations.

We must review and expand our lecture programme so we not only have a London Lecture programme but also a Manchester programme, a Birmingham programme or where ever the demand will be. This is a challenging commitment but I want to see this one through as it benefits you the member.

I would also like to encourage better co-operation with other UFO groups within the UK, where we can help and be helped by local UFO investigation organisations. Fostering better links and sharing information with other groups is a vital component in understanding the UFO phenomenon. The failure to embrace the importance of greater intergroup co-operation is, in my view, the reason why we, as ufologists, are no nearer the answers we strive to obtain today, than our predecessors were in 1947.

And finally, BUFORA is viewed as a bureaucratic organisation, slow to react and not really on the pulse of things. Throughout this reorganisation, I am determined not to add to the bureaucracy but to cut it and reduce the costs of administration and channel it to direct research.

I have only shared some of my ideas with you, but it must be remembered that BUFORA is not my Association, its not the Council's Association, its your Association. To make this reorganisation work I need to know what you want; what you expect to get for £20.00 and what you expect us to do with regard to UFO research. Please write to me via the BUFORA office address and air your views and get active!

A Bigger Read

In our first move to give you value for money, we have decided to increase the number of pages in UT from 20 to 24. Producing the magazine is the largest single expenditure the association has to bear. However, after careful negotiations with our new printer, Information Management, we have been able to clinch a more favourable deal and we

have passed on the savings made, directly on to you in the form of a larger magazine.

We will also strive to improve the content and aim to broaden the ufological topics covered over the coming year by supporting Ken with a dedicated editorial team where articles will be carefully chosen and commissioned to reflect our aims and objectives.

Mike Wootten

CONTENTS

Issue Number Thirty-one

| |
|---|
| <i>Pages Three, Four and Five</i> |
| The Gordon Faulkner Interview |
| <i>Page Five</i> |
| Allergies and Aliens - The Visitation Experience |
| <i>Pages Six and Seven</i> |
| The Final secret of Free Energy |
| <i>Pages Eight, Nine, Ten, Eleven and Twelve</i> |
| Investigations Diary |
| <i>Pages Twelve and Thirteen</i> |
| Newsfile |
| <i>Pages Fourteen and Fifteen</i> |
| Inside The Sea Shadow |
| <i>Pages Sixteen and Seventeen</i> |
| UFO Snapshots |
| <i>Pages Eighteen, Nineteen and Twenty</i> |
| Readers Write |
| <i>Pages Twenty-one and Twenty-two</i> |
| The Xenobiotic Presence |
| <i>Page Twenty-three</i> |
| BUFORA Information |

(C)Bufora Ltd 1994

THINK AGAIN!

THE GORDON FAULKNER
INTERVIEW: 26TH APRIL 1994

BY JOHN SPENCER

In 1965 Gordon Faulkner made the front pages - and a double spread in the centre pages - of the national newspaper *The Daily Mirror*. He had photographed a UFO - nicknamed 'The Thing' in Warminster and local reporter and UFO enthusiast Arthur Shuttlewood had taken the report, and the photo, to the Daily Mirror. It was not a particularly impressive photo, and offered little in the way of substantial evidence for UFO researchers; nonetheless it was an important item. The photo became a symbol of Warminster and focused Britain on that area as a UFO-centre. In the years that followed - with Arthur Shuttlewood's local enthusiasm - Warminster became one of the world's important UFO concentrations. Faulkner's photograph was therefore of enormous social significance, giving a visual image to those who craved it of a phenomenon.

Then, in early 1992, a man called Roger Hooton sought me out to tell me that he had faked the photo with Gordon Faulkner. He presented a good case, plenty of evidence that he was the local Warminster resident of the time that he said he was, and that he worked for the Warminster Journal for a short time (which I confirmed through their employment records). The case seemed cut and dried - indeed it still may be so and the photo may well be a fake -but two questions remained open in my mind which I hoped to pursue. Firstly, I wanted Arthur Shuttlewood's comments on the matter and I wrote to him twice. I never got a reply other than a comment from

his wife that he was not in the best of health and not involved in the subject any more. I did not trouble the family further, and I understand from a local reporter who more recently spoke to the family that the situation is still unchanged other than possibly further deterioration in Arthur Shuttlewood's health. The second important factor was that I wanted to speak to Gordon Faulkner but I could not locate him. I understood he was in Australia. Enquiries through several contacts there proved fruitless and I let the matter rest while keeping one eye on locating him one day.

When Faulkner did turn up it was, in fact, just a few miles up the M1 from where I live, and on the 26th April 1994 I drove to Staffordshire to meet with him. He runs a microlight flying school there. And Gordon had a quite different tale to tell. **He maintained that the photograph was genuine; further, that he did not know of Hooton and certainly had not conspired with him.**

There could be no misunderstanding; one of the two has to be lying. Both were clearly talking about the same photograph.

Firstly I had the cheek to ask Gordon how he could prove he was who he said he was; he showed me his pilot's identifications and his credit and cashpoint cards. Mostly they are in the name of L.G.G. Faulkner, which is correct (as per the initial letter to the Warminster Journal in 1965).

We spent some time chatting generally about Gordon's life since 1965, about UFOs generally - in which Gordon has little interest, incidentally - and about THAT photograph and Roger Hooton's claims. Then I went through a list of specific questions for the record, noted below:

J: Can you give me your birthday and full name.

G: 19.8.1942. Leslie Gordon Gilroy Faulkner.

J: Can you tell me where you have been chronologically for the past nearly 30 years since the picture was taken?

G: As best I can remember. After taking the picture I went to Australia. That was early in 1966. I came back, I believe in 1969, three years later. Stayed in England for three years, and then worked in Holland for a while. Correction, I went back to Australia in between times. Then I worked back here in England, I worked in Holland, came back to England, did some flying work in Sweden. I've been involved in flying now for, I suppose, fourteen to fifteen years, and professionally - as an instructor - for the last nine to ten years in this country. Based in Herefordshire and in Staffordshire.

J: Let's get it in your words, once more for the record. Just describe it to me again how the photo came to be taken.

G: I just came out and there it was. The camera was in my hand, I saw it, and I thought, I must get this. It was moving but it wasn't making any noise. It was moving really fast.

J: And, for the record: Roger Hooton says that you and he faked the picture. Did you?

G: No, and I repeat, I don't know Roger Hooton, as far as I am concerned he is a liar.

Regarding this question of 'Is it a fake or isn't it a fake?' I'm repeating what I've always said. No, it isn't a fake.

There are people over the years who have gone to quite a lot of trouble to discredit the picture. The first one was a German chap whose name I forget. He wrote to me and I replied quite openly and the letter I got in response was to say that the picture was a fake because of the image size on the nega-

tive. That it couldn't have been in the position that I guessed it was. I think I guessed it must have been somewhere between half a mile and a mile away. But it was only a guess. He is rather convinced that the picture was a fake. But I don't really want to get into this technical area: I know what happened.

J: How did you hear about Roger Hooton?

G: On the TV. On Friday just after returning from Portugal, it would have been about two weeks ago now. It was an evening news item, probably HTV or BBC Bristol, I don't know which. We only caught the last 2 or so minutes of it.

J: What was your feeling when you heard what was being said?

G: Astonishment. I don't know Roger Hooton. I've never met him which I've said to the Wiltshire Times and yourself. He lived in the same town at the same time so our paths might have crossed, but to the best of my recollection I've never met the man. I've certainly never co-operated with him on any hoax.

J: Roger Hooton suggested that according to the time given in the paper you had taken the picture in almost darkness and yet that doesn't seem to be what's come out on the film. How do you account for that point that he has raised?

G: It wasn't dark, it was daylight. I don't know where he gets his information from.

J: Do you know what time it was?

G: I can't remember now.

(Note: The newspaper account has the photo taken at 8.20pm, the time given in Faulkner's original letter to the

Warminster Journal. That would be after sundown in that area according to times given in the newspaper; what light would have been available is something to be re-tested by experiment with a matching camera. - JS)

J: Can you tell me where the negatives are at the moment?

G: I'm sorry. I believe they are lost. Many, many moves, many, many ups and downs, a few divorces and a few relationships since then. And I have travelled a lot. Somewhere along the line there they got lost.

J: Can I just confirm that you have



had them: they weren't given to Arthur Shuttlewood? I got the impression from his book, Warminster Mystery, that you'd given them to him. In fact I thought the book said that you'd handed over the copyright to him. (Note: I checked afterwards, the book states that Faulkner said to Shuttlewood "The print and negative are yours, free, if they are any use to you." - JS)

G: No, they weren't given to Arthur Shuttlewood. And there was only one negative, only one picture.

J: Have you ever made any money out of the picture, or your experiences, or whatever?

G: Yes, I think I got about £50 when it appeared in the Daily Mirror.

J: Are you making any money out of it now?

G: No.

J: Have you ever seen another UFO apart from that one?

G: No.

J: Would you like to hazard a guess as to what you believe your UFO was?

G: No. I don't feel qualified to guess. But it was definitely something I haven't seen before or since. I thought it was big. I think it was perhaps further away than I thought it was.

J: Do you want to give me your guess as to what UFOs generally are?

G: In the meanwhile I have read too many books for them not to have influenced my opinion. I think they can probably be grouped into all the conglomerate of phenomena. I wouldn't like to comment further on that. I don't believe in little green men from Mars and I have read a bit about the interstellar distances involved and it just seems a bit unlikely.

J: Would you like to do a talk to BUFORA to put your side of it?

G: I think I would probably not. I prefer to keep the thing at a distance.

(Note: Gordon told me that he had little interest in UFOs, and that he had been somewhat troubled by the type of people that had pestered him in 1965; he

didn't want a repeat of that - JS).

And so the debate goes on. It will only be resolved if the photo can be subjected to analysis - and that would probably require the negatives - or if the person lying admits so. I have published this interview to give both sides of the debate, and to give Gordon his chance to rectify what he sees as lying by Roger Hooton. In the meantime I am writing again to Roger where he now lives (back to Australia again!) and if anything new breaks readers of *UFO Times* will be the first to know.

ADVERTISING IN UFO TIMES - RATES

The UFO Times is a bi-monthly, illustrated magazine and in A4 format, normally of 28/32 pages. It is read by UFO researchers and investigators worldwide and has a circulation of approximately 500, nearly a quarter of which is sent overseas.

The UFO Times is available by subscription, or by exchange with similar publications; it is sent free to Association members.

Advertising is accepted at the following rates:-

DISPLAY ADS.

Full page..... #50-00

Half page..... #30-00 (vertical or horizontal)

Quarter page..... #18-00

Eighth page..... #10-00

(camera-ready artwork please)

PREMIUM - inside back cover 25%
- outside back cover 50% (only available by arrangement with the editor).

CLASSIFIED

Column inch..... £3-00 (boxed £2-00 extra). Ads. must be in good taste and subject to editor's discretion in accordance with BUFORA policy. Small ads. (30 words or so) are free to members if noncommercial.

ALLERGIES AND ALIENS - THE VISITATION EXPERIENCE,

Budden A., Discovery Times Press.

I shall not say much about the contents of this booklet - which is a precursor to a more comprehensive hardback by the author and which is due to be published next year - as I have featured an article by him covering the subject of allergies elsewhere in this issue. However, I would like to be allowed to make a few observations of my own here.

Firstly, and in the book's favour, the hypothesis developed by Budden is both testable and universal in scope (a rare thing in ufology!) since all one has to do when investigating close encounters is to get the witness to answer a 25-page questionnaire based on personal health and environmental factors: i.e. check for the proximity of electromagnetically emitting installations and associated 'hot spots', etc. After all, such a procedure does not require technical knowledge or expertise; just the powers of observation on behalf of investigators.

Once this check is done, research will then determine whether or not Budden and his colleagues are on the right track. Moreover, such a survey should overcome the main weakness in Budden's hypothesis: the extremely small number of his sample ($n = 19$, as opposed to many thousands of existing reports). If the number of subjects could be raised to, say, >100 , then this would have the effect of erecting a powerful signpost as to where UFO research should be going in the future.

Another powerful factor in Budden's favour is that the hallucinations caused by allergies could explain the absurdities met in the close encounter experiences; something which has always worried me as an investigator of 25 years standing. Having said this, however, there remains the hard-core of CEIs and CEIIs as well as those radar-visuals and photos which so puzzled the Condon Committee. Try as one might, it is very difficult to conceive of electromagnetically-induced hallucinations producing symmetrical ground traces, genuine photos and films as well as images in the gun-sights of fighter interceptors, etc.

Despite these misgivings, one has to remain objective in the field of ufology and be willing to consider alternative hypotheses. And, of course, electromagnetic pollution is becoming a terrible threat to the environment and its inhabitants. However, even if Budden fails to provide a universal hypothesis for UFOs, he might go a long way in explaining much in this field, including those intractable abductions.

For an investment of a couple of bob, the book is good value, well written, non-jargonistic and informative - buy it!

Ken.

(To be reviewed in the next edition: Stuart Campbell's The UFO Mystery Solved, and Ken Llewelyn's Flight into the Ages - Ed.)

SEE ADVERT ON PAGE TWELVE

THE FINAL SECRET OF FREE ENERGY

By T. E. Beardon

PART VI

THE FREE ENERGY PRINCIPLE

All potential gradient (trapped excess energy density) is free for the taking²³. The potential is due to the violent VPF exchange between the vacuum and the separated bipolar charges furnishing the source potential gradient. The energy of the entire universe is flowing through that source potential. You can have as much of this internal VPF flux energy (potential) as you wish, as often as you wish, so long as you don't demand current (which is power, or the rate at which the energy is being freed and dissipated.). It's really simple. You can have all the trapped energy you wish, from any source. You cannot connect to the source and start to dissipate the energy as power, however, without starting to close the 'gate' from which your free, trapped energy is coming.

In other words, here's the iron rule: if you draw current, you kill the bipolarity gate furnishing the potential gradient (source of energy density). In that case you kill the source. If you do not draw current, you do not kill the bipolarity gate and you do not shut down the source. In that case, you can continue to 'use' it and extract trapped EM energy from it forever.

DEFINITIONS AGAIN

I'll put down some simple equations that may help to explain it more exactly, but first we repeat some definitions.

Energy is any ordering imposed upon the virtual particle flux of vacuum. EM energy is any ordering imposed upon the virtual

photon flux of the vacuum. Static energy is an ordering (a template) which is stationary with respect to the external observer. Dynamic energy is an ordering (a template) which is stationary with respect to the external observer.

Potential: Any ordering imposed upon the virtual particle flux of vacuum. Scalar potential is an ordering (template) that is not moving with respect to the external observer. Vector potential is an ordering (template) that is moving with respect to the external observer.

The scalar EM potential is any static (with respect to the external observer) ordering imposed upon the virtual photon flux of vacuum, etc.

Note again that energy and potential have exactly the same definition. Potential is in fact trapped energy. Scalar EM potential is static EM energy (to the external observer) or trapped (collected) EM energy. In other words, if one takes off a differential of potential onto a fixed number of Coulombs, one takes off a certain magnitude of trapped EM energy; i.e. one takes out a shovelful of coal from the coal car.

IMPORTANCE OF SEPARATION OF CHARGES

We must not dispel the separation of charges in our source: the difference in our coal-fired train analogy and our electrical circuit is that, in the coal train, the coal in the coal car is not automatically and continually replenished. Also, the coal in the coal car has already been collected by the mass of the coal car, so it is not infinite. In the electrical circuit, the potential gradient in the primary source is continually replen-

ished, automatically, and it is infinite (though it has a finite energy density). The reason is simple. EM potential (in the normal sense) is actually a virtual photon flux exchange between the vacuum (the entire vacuum, all over the universe) and a charged particle or collection of charged particles²⁴. Thus the potential (gradient) is a powerful energy flux, pumped by the vacuum and the entire universe, that continues automatically, so long as we do not allow the collected charges in our bipolarity source to be dissipated. In terms of a battery, we achieved separation of charges inside the battery by chemical action, and we paid for that initially. Once separated, the charges essentially stay separated (because of the chemistry), unless we foolishly do something to dissipate them, such as upsetting the chemistry, so they are no longer separated positive from negative. So if we don't do anything to these separated charges, they continue to be driven by their fierce exchange of virtual photon flux with the vacuum/universe. If we then simply extract some of that flux exchange, without moving the charges, we are directly 'gating' trapped EM energy from the vacuum/charged particle VPF exchange²⁵.

THE POTENTIAL IS INFINITE AND SO IS ITS ENERGY CONTENT

You can't dip the ocean dry with a spoon: Let's say that another way. The charged particles in our potential source are in a constant, seething, equilibrium exchange of trapped EM energy with the entire universe. That energy exchange is so enormous that, if we gate some of it out to collect on some other 'temporarily frozen' charges and potentialise/activate them, the vacuum flux doesn't even miss it. It's like

dipping a spoonful of water out of the restless ocean. The hole is instantly filled, and the water replenished. We can dip with that spoon as much as we wish, and the ocean will never run dry, but will simply continue to furnish us water, spoonful by spoonful.

The same is true in our electric circuits. We can have all the potential (trapped EM energy density) we wish, for free, from a single source, so long as we do not allow work to be done inside the source to close off our 'gate' and kill our primary source.

THE TWISTED CONCEPT OF VOLTAGE

Before we develop some pseudo-equations: In the equations we wish to develop, we have one problem due to the lack of insight of conventional, electrical physicists. That is, they have insisted upon 'measuring' and expressing both the infinite potential (non-dissipated) and a certain quantity of potential (dissipated) in volts. So they say "a potential of so many volts". That's nonsense, and totally erroneous. Rigorously, a voltage is a drop or a dissipation of so much (a finite amount of) collected excess potential/energy. You 'measure' the voltage in a voltmeter by impressing a potential gradient upon the electron gas in the circuitry, wherein you collect, or get in your voltmeter, so much ((Joules/Coulomb) x Coulombs). A tiny current (Coulombs/second) from this internal collection then flows for a finite time through the resistance of the voltmeter. So you dissipate (Joules/Coulomb) x (Coulombs/second) x (seconds), which gives a certain amount of energy dissipated as work in moving the needle of the voltmeter. The voltmeter is calibrated so that it effectively indicates the collected energy per Coulomb that was dissipated, and it calls that entity voltage. It involves a finite amount of energy that has already been dissipated as work, and it's a measure of the local energy density of the potential in terms of Joules/Coulomb; it is not a measure of the potential proper. It's after the fact: the extracted (collected) potential gradient it actually refers to existed in the past, before the work (dissipation of the collected, trapped energy) was done. To refer to the potential before its dissipation as 'voltage'

is precisely the same as confusing the future with the past. A 'potential (difference) of so many volts' is actually a statement that 'a potential difference of so much energy per Coulomb' could be dissipated in a load, if it were connected to the load so that a finite amount of energy was collected, and this finite load-collection was allowed to dissipate as power (volts/Coulomb x Coulomb/sec) for a finite time, yielding work. It's even worse, but it would take a textbook to straighten out this one error in EM theory.

So we'll leave it at that, and we'll adapt the notion of potential the way it is corrupted in electrical circuit theory. There it's used not really as energy, but rather as excess energy per Coulomb of potentialised charge. I apologise for that difficulty, which is not of my own making, but I must use the conventional notion if we are to greatly clarify the pseudo-equations.

THE EQUATIONS OF FREE ENERGY

The pseudo-equations: Let us use the following subscripts and letter convention, and develop the nomenclature needed:-

T = trapped d = dissipated or dissipating

m = translated (moving) K = energy

V = volts = potential drop (potential dissipated) = previously collected potential

radiated away as heat in a load, doing work on the load in the process

(Unfortunately we shall also have to speak of a potential gradient that is not being dissipated, so we shall have to speak of 'trapped volts', which is erroneous, but complies with the common usage.)

» = electrostatic scalar potential
Coul = Coulombs

i = amperes = dissipating potentialised Coulombs per second flowing, so amps are

something translating, always.

(Amps are excited Coulombs per second that are dissipating their excitation. With superconductivity excluded, you only have amps when you have a potential drop across a load. So we will speak of amps as 'dissipating', meaning that potentialised electrons are travelling through a load, dissipating their activation (gradients) in the load by radiating scattered photons (heat).

n = number of electrons in a Coulomb = 6.3 x 10¹⁸ electrons/Coulomb.

Here are the pseudo-equations (superconductivity is excluded):-

$$\text{ampm} = \text{coul}/\text{sec} = n \text{ electrons}/\text{m}/\text{sec} = n \text{ electrons}/\text{d}/\text{sec} \quad (1)$$

$$\hat{a}\rangle = VT \quad (\text{As conventionally referred to. It would be volts if all of it were}) \quad (2)$$

dissipated, but it is not yet dissipated, so it is sort of 'trapped volts'. Erroneous, but the common use. So we shall speak (somewhat distastefully) of 'trapped volts' and 'dissipated volts'.

$$Vd \times \text{ampd} \times \text{sec} = \text{watts} \times \text{sec} = \text{power} \times \text{time} = \text{work} = Kd \quad (3)$$

$$Vd \times \text{coul}/\text{sec} \times \text{sec} = (\text{work}) = Kd \quad (4)$$

In the switching, we switch to KT to Kd, so:-

$$KT_Kd \quad (5)$$

$$\text{But } VT \times \text{coul}T = KT \quad (6)$$

Or:-

$$(VT = (KT)/(\text{coul}T) = \text{trapped energy}/\text{trapped Coulomb}) \quad (7)$$

$$(KT) = (VT) \times (\text{coul}T) = \text{amount of trapped energy, each cycle} \quad (8)$$

So that's what we were getting at: the amount of trapped energy you can transfer

Continued on page fourteen

INVESTIGATIONS DIARY

THE WALES AND WEST COUNTRY SIGHTINGS OF 30th/31st MARCH 1993

PART II

by Doug Cooper

Despite the fact that our Devon Co-ordinator is still smarting over the way his reports and photographs were confiscated, Doug has very kindly allowed U.T. to print his report summaries of the fascinating events of the above date - Ed.

At sometime between 01.10 and 01.17, two very bright, white objects with some sort of vapour trails, were observed flying N.W. to S.E. at high altitude and parallel to each other and appeared to have been on a pre-determined course. These were, I believe, the remnants of a Russian space rocket that had earlier deposited a Cosmos Radio Satellite into orbit. However, at the same time, i.e. 01.10, two brightly lit objects, or a very large one with two lights attached, crossed the Bristol Channel, flew low over Lynton, and somewhere between there and Liskeard, came to a halt and hovered for a few seconds. They/it then ascended at great speed to about 10,000' and then altered course, taking a southerly bearing over the English Channel.

If the information contained in the letter from the MOD is correct, then the object, or objects, were later seen over

or near Bristol, Avon, at approximately 01.15, heading south-easterly! Also, if the MOD information is correct, similar objects were seen between 21.10 on the 30th March 1993, and 02.40 on the 31st., covering an area from Cornwall to South Yorkshire! The implication of this being that some 4 hours before the re-entry, an 'unknown' object, or objects were flying across the Westcountry, up into Avon and on to S. Yorks., with the last known sighting over Shropshire at 02.40. It also indicates that the objects appeared to be criss-crossing these areas during this period.

EVENT 'C': BRIDGEWATER, SOMERSET

On Wednesday 31st. March 1993, a group of fishermen (known as Elvers) were fishing in the River Parrett, near Bridgewater, Somerset. At approximately 01.30 they noticed 3 military-type helicopters flying in a radius from Bridgewater to Hinkley Point. This activity was observed for some 30 minutes or so and, at a little before 02.00, the 'Elvers' saw 2 bright, orange-coloured lights approaching from the north. As they drew closer, the 'Elvers', who had previously thought that the lights were the returning helicopters, realised that they were not. Firstly, there was the absence of any engine or rotor-blade noise and navigation lights. Secondly, the objects approached the Elvers' position at a steady pace, not over fast, and appeared to be at the height of about 800'. On their final approach, and in addition to the orange lights seen, 2 very bright, white lights seemed to be glowing from the rear of the objects. As they passed overhead, One of the Elvers (Vernon Rolles) thought the objects were joined by some sort of structure and likened this to a "large catamaran". As

the objects cleared their position, 2 very bright light sources were seen at the rear of the 'craft', throwing lightbeams backwards. These were described as like car headlights, and what amazed the sighters most (Vernon Rolles and Mervyn Kelly) was the complete absence of noise; in fact quite the opposite - deathly silence! The objects proceeded on a south-easterly course towards Dorset and were in view for 2 to 3 minutes before disappearing.

I have personally spoken to both of these gentlemen and received a written report from one (Mr. Rolles). Mr. Kelly has not returned his report form, and this I believe is because he has difficulty in writing (don't we all!?), and therefore feel it best not to pursue the matter any further.

One other interesting point that I noted during the conversation with Vernon Rolles, was this: during the early hours, somewhere between midnight and 01.00, the cows occupying an adjacent field appeared to be very restless which, I am told, is very unusual. Just after their sighting, Vernon, Mervyn and their wives, were astonished to see all the cows had congregated in the middle of the field and were all facing each other in a circular formation and had gone very quiet! This is I'm told also very unusual.

EVENT 'D' - N. DEVON AND SOMERSET.

During the evening of Tuesday 30th March 1993, 6 independent witnesses reported an object, or objects, flying over and/or hovering over Somerset and N. Devon.

The first sighting occurred at 21.00,

when Mrs. Susan Wyatt observed 2 glowing, white/orange objects hovering north-west of her position. At the time, she was travelling from her home at Kingstone-St-Mary, Somerset, towards Bishop Lydeard and thought the objects were somewhere above, or just beyond, the town. They remained stationary for some 2 or 3 minutes, were very silent and Mrs. Wyatt got the feeling that "something was going to happen, as everything seemed to have gone very quiet! She eventually lost sight of the objects behind some clouds.

Meanwhile, Geoffry Thomas, a local business man who lives at Crowcombe Heathfield, near Lydeard-St-Lawrence, Somerset - just north of Bishops Lydeard - had gone out into his garden to feed his fish. It was about 20.00, and on looking up, observed a triangular formation of 3 objects approximately 5 miles north-west of his home. They were brightly lit (self luminous) and each object was larger than a star. He likened them to golf balls, 2 at the top with the other immediately below. From the lowest object, a light beam seemed to be shining down at an angle of about 45 degrees. Mr. Thomas kept the objects in view for a little over 3 hours. During this time, his daughter (Jane) had also been out to see the objects and has confirmed the sighting.

At this point, I think it is worth pointing out that, from the evidence, the object(s) seen by Mrs. Wyatt and Mr. Thomas are probably one and the same. The direction is right (i.e. north-west), and although Mrs. Wyatt feels the object(s) were over Bishops Lydeard, I think in this she could be mistaken and that they were a bit further away towards the N.W.

The third report came from P.C. Filer who is stationed at Bishops Lydeard. His report (by phone) was as follows: at approximately 21.00, he was up on the Quantock Hills, N. Somerset, with a group of scouts carrying out some field exercises, when his attention was drawn to a series of bright lights approaching

from the north-west. They appeared to be at a height of 3,000' and travelling at a constant speed, but not over fast! As the lights got closer, he made out the outline of a large craft which, he said, "looked like two Concorde's flying side-by-side and joined together". The lights he had seen appeared to be around the object(s) and were similar to cabin lights on an aircraft, only much brighter. The object passed to his left, and after 2 or 3 minutes, was lost to sight as it proceeded on a south-easterly course.

Strangely, as with the other P.C.s., despite agreeing to complete a report form, this has never been returned - I wonder why?

At approximately 22.30, Mr. Ian Warrick, a traffic warden, was standing outside his home on the Sandhill Park Estate at Bishops Lydeard when he noticed a brightly lit object approaching from the south. At arm's length, he describes the object as about the size of a 'Zippo' lighter, and had 6 rows of lights numbering 30 in all. They were in 2 sections of 15 which were spaced in 3 rows of 5 lights each.

The object's speed was similar to a jet aircraft and appeared to be at a high altitude. Because of this, Mr. Warrick had the object in sight for nearly 15 minutes before it disappeared to the north. Some 5 minutes after the event, Mr. Warrick observed a civil airliner on the same course at approximately the same altitude and he was able to identify this without any problem.

The 5th report came from Mr. Peter Heal who lives in Taunton, Somerset. At approximately 22.20 (maybe a bit later) he observed 2 white lights, apparently connected, flying parallel to each other in an easterly direction. Again, there was no noise from the objects and they appeared to be moving very fast. He gave the length of his sighting as 2 minutes and is convinced it/they was not an aircraft.

The 6th and last report for this evening

came from Mr Vaughn Vdall, who lives at Milverton, Devon. At sometime before midnight - about 23.30 - he saw 2 groups of lights flying alongside of each other. He describes them as 2 objects with 3 lights in a triangular formation. He says that they were moving very fast and watched them for 2 minutes before they disappeared in a south-westerly direction.

NOTES

From these reports it is obvious that during the evening (20.00 to 23.00) an object(s) was clearly visible and seen hovering over N. Devon. This is substantiated by Mrs. Wyatt and Mr. Thomas in their reports. In addition, other objects of a similar type were seen traversing the sky from north to south and south to north. Although the reports are not identical - i.e. they are different in their description - they do indicate that a fairly large, twin-hulled craft was airborne that evening and flying low over some areas. What this object was is of course unknown and must, therefore, temporarily at least, be classed as a UFO.

++++++

THE DOI's COUPLE OF BOBSWORTH:-

93-213

Date: 16-11-93, Cockburnspath, Berwickshire. Inv.: G. Dixon.

Tracey F. and two of her friends were in her bedroom at around 7.30 pm when her brother downstairs observed a bright light through the living room windows. Tracey's brother alerted her and her friends and they all observed an oval-shaped object which hovered directly over the house. They all ran outside and watched the object move out of sight in the distance.

EVALUATION: aircraft/helicopter.

++++++

93-218.

Date: 7-12-93, Edgware, Middx. Inv.: M. Lewis.

At around 19.15/25, John L. was stood at the bus stop when he observed a bright, orange, star-like object fly over some nearby railway lines. There were several lights around the object. The lights were green, red and blue. At one point, John even claimed he was telepathically contacted by the object. His bus arrived, he got on it and lost sight of the object.

EVALUATION: helicopter (in telepathic contact!? Ed).

+++++

93-119A.

Date: 10-12-93, Chateridge, Bucks. Inv.: M. Lewis.

On the date in question, at 21.00, Ms. H. was driving through dark, country lanes in Bucks. Ms. H's sister was in the car with her and it was she who first observed two large balls of light (stationary) in the sky. They observed these for a few minutes whilst driving down the road. Suddenly, the lights moved off very quickly, so Ms. H. stopped the car and turned off the lights and engine to see if anything else could be observed. Looking overhead, they then observed a triangle with lights all around it. The object also had beams of light coming down from it. Moving slowly away, the object then stopped and remained stationary for around half an hour.

EVALUATION: helicopter (*a helicopter the shape of a "triangle" hovering for half an hour!? Ed*).

+++++

93-221.

Date: 17-12-93, Chester, Cheshire. Inv.: P. Noden.

It was around 18.55 when Deborah J. was waiting for a bus. She noticed what seemed to be a series of white lights which appeared to be going round in circles. The lights moved fairly quickly over the area without making any unusual manoeuvres.

EVALUATION: possible aircraft.

+++++

93-222.

Date: December '93, Chester. Inv.: P. Noden.

Andrew K. was driving home from work at around 22.15 when he observed some lights in a field. He looked back but the lights were gone. This same thing happened two days later. In the 9 years that Andrew has been driving along this road, he has never seen these lights before or since the two nights in question.

EVALUATION: open.

+++++

In January 1994, a spate of sightings were reported in and around the city of Chester. So many reports were made that BUFORA investigator. P. Noden, is still working on them. Here follows a brief selection of some of the sightings reported investigated by P. Noden:-

93-223.

Date: January '94, Churton, Chester. Inv.: P. Noden.

Whilst out walking his dogs, Mr. G. observed a singular, bright light in the sky for 4 - 5 minutes. The light remained in view until he went into his house.

94-200.

Date: January '94, Plasnewton, Ches-

ter. Inv.: P. Noden.

It was 21.30 when Louise H. was sitting in her living room and her mum drew her attention to a bright 'thing' in the sky outside. Louise went outside to get a better look and observed a cigar-shaped object displaying white, red and blue lights. The object then moved off into the distance.

94-202.

Date: 3-1-94, Tarporley, Cheshire. Inv.: P. Noden.

At around 23.15, Mr. and Mrs. T. observed a yellow oval-shaped object disappear behind some nearby houses.

94-204.

Date: 17-1-94, Sealand, Chester. Inv.: P. Noden.

At 08.20, Andrew J. was being driven to work by a friend when they both observed a grey "line" in the sky some distance away. The grey line started to get bigger, then went back to its original size. It was eventually lost from view behind buildings. Returning from work on the same day, at around 17.10, both witnesses observed a bright, white light which hovered for a while before shooting off.

94-206.

Date: 21-1-94, Sealand, Chester. Inv.: P. Noden.

At 17.34, Andrew H. was walking to the bus stop when a bright light seen out of the corner of his eye attracted his attention. Looking up, he noticed a white "egg-shaped" object which had a glowing, red square across the middle. The object was lost from view behind some tall buildings.

(Although it might not explain all of these sightings, it would not surprise me in the least if an airship of some sort was the culprit - P. Mantle).

+++++

94-210.

Date: 5-2-94, Peterborough. Inv.: R. Bull.

Mr. Alan G. was driving home from work at around 18.20, and had just joined the by-pass, when he noticed two orange light in the sky. They were moving fairly quickly so Alan gave chase. Catching them up, they were now two white lights with a duller "sparkling" light in between them. After approximately 10 seconds, the lights moved overhead and now looked like 4 lights in a diamond formation. A dull sound, rather like a transformer, was heard. Alan contacted the nearby RAF bases to check for aircraft activity, but the bases could not offer any assistance.

EVALUATION: possible aircraft/helicopter.

+++++

94-211.

Date: 7-2-94, Kings Lynn, Norfolk. Inv.: J. Copsey.

Mrs. H. was walking home at around 23.10 when she spotted a white light in the sky, stationary at first before moving off over a nearby bridge. The light descended and Mrs. H. could now make out an object shaped like a policeman's helmet with a white light in the middle of it. The object remained motionless for about a minute before drifting off towards the by-pass and out of sight.

EVALUATION: possible helicopter.

+++++

94-212.

Date: 7-2-94, Southampton. Inv.: A. Woodward.

At around 21.15, Anne H. had just stopped her car on St. Deny's Road in Southampton when she looked up and saw a bright light which seemed to be just falling from the sky. It was a single white light which was lost from view behind some buildings.

EVALUATION: shooting star.

+++++

94-213.

Date: 22-2-94, Menstone, Leeds. Inv.: D. Sigsworth.

Apparently, at around 19.45, Mr. and Mrs. G. observed a strange light over Reva Hill. The light was described as descending slowly and looking like a big headlight. It was very bright, and both these witnesses and other car drivers pulled over to watch it before the light simply blinked out.

EVALUATION: possible flare.

+++++

94-214.

Date: 22-2-94, between Linwood and Lissington, Lincs. Inv.: R. Millen.

At 19.30, Mr. S. was driving between the villages of Linwood and Lissington, when high in the sky in front of him, he observed a triangular arrangement of lights flying in an easterly direction. He pulled the car over and watched the lights until they were lost from view in the distance. There were 9 lights in total which seemed to form an equilateral triangle.

EVALUATION: aircraft.

+++++

94-215.

Date: 10-3-94, Brinklow, W. Midlands. Inv. D. Barnes.

Marion was in bed at around 02.30 when she was startled by a tremendous sound like "a hundred lorries" along with a "whooshing" noise and a beeping sound. Car alarms went off outside and Marion got out of bed to take a look at what was happening. As she looked out of her window, she observed a mass of lights (coloured) in a huge circular formation. Moving away from the window to obtain her spectacles, the noise ceased and the object was no longer in view. The next day, her neighbours told her they also had heard the noise. Brinklow is located near Bagington airport and David Barnes telephoned there the next day (Marion is his mother-in-law) and was told that the object was a cargo plane.

EVALUATION: aircraft. *(Some cargo plane that can set off car alarms and make beeping sounds! I don't think I would like to live in Brinklow! - Ed).*

+++++

94-216.

Date: 18-3-94, Cropwell Bishop, Notts. Inv.: R. Millen.

On the night in question, Mrs. O. was unable to sleep so she got up to look out of the window. Upon doing this, she observed a number of large, grey/white discs, all of which were stationary in the sky. The discs did not move nor did they display any other lights and were visible until Mrs. O. had viewed them long enough.

EVALUATION: astronomical objects. *(such as? - Ed).*

+++++

94-217.

Date: indeterminate '94, Nordelph, Norfolk. Inv.: J. Copsey.

At around 06.00, Sharron W. had just been to the toilet and was getting back

into bed when she noticed a red and white lights in the sky. The lights dropped out of the sky before coming to a halt. Sharron went and got her 10-year-old daughter to view the lights with her, which seemed to be moving in half a circle and they just went out.

EVALUATION: insufficient data.

+++++

N.I.C. MEETING

The next meeting of the National Investigations Committee (NIC) is due to take place in London on November 6th 1994. However, I have had a number of requests to move the venue, at least once a year, to a location in Northern England, thus enabling our northern investigators a better chance of attending. With this in mind, I would appreciate any ideas on where such a meeting could be held (*How about The Sefton Hotel, Sefton Park, Liverpool? - Ed*). If you would like to help organise and NIC meeting near you, then please contact me at my home address.

Allergies and Aliens The Visitation Experience: An Environmental Health Issue by Albert Budden

This work presents for the first time, a completely novel approach to the understanding of alien contact/abduction experiences.

Budden's investigations show how all abductees develop electrical hypersensitivity after a major electrical event, and undergo the CE4 experience as a response to electrical/electronic pollution.

Allergies and Aliens is available from: Discovery Times Press, 270 Sandycombe Road, Kew, Surrey. TW9 3NP. Price £5.50 tnc p&p.

Newsfile

MAGONIA EDITORIAL TEAM DIVERSIFY BY INVENTING A WALKING FRAME FOR DRUNKS.

It would seem that our team from Magonia saw the market potential for such a device after their last drinking session at their unofficial meeting place at a pub in Dreary Lane. Apparently, Big, Bad John Rimmer did not arrive home that night and woke up in a local park covered in mud, causing the Aetherius Society to speculate that he had been abducted by aliens! However, Rimmer and his colleagues struck upon a solution to inebriation after seeing many of BUFORA's Council having to rely upon zimmer frames to get them to the last AGM. "Why not," said Big, Bad John, "adapt these zimmer frames so that casters are mounted at the end of each leg and a cloth seat fixed about halfway up. All the drunk has to do is step inside the frame to steady himself, so that in the event of his lurching, the frame will keep him upright yet continue in motion because of the casters. Furthermore, if the drunk falls over, he will land up on the cloth seat, with his feet trailing behind him, with motion yet again ensured due to the casters. On these principles, the drunk is ensured of a safe arrival at his destination."

It is rumoured that Magonia team member, Des O'Connor, has patented this device and expects to derive a great deal of money by selling them outside pubs at closing time. Rimmer, to his credit, has even decreed that BUFORA's Council will receive 10% of the takings in order to finance its operations flow-chart.

Source: Judith Jaafar (my minder).

+++++

'ALIEN ACKNOWLEDGE- MENT CAMPAIGN' ATTEMPTS TO RAISE AWARENESS OF THE UFO PRESENCE.

The organisers of this campaign, OPERATION RIGHT TO KNOW (ORTK), mounted an 'Are You Aware' lobbying of the MOD and House of Commons on 23rd May this year.

21 people took part on the day and everyone had a thoroughly good time (I bet the police had their work cut out for them in controlling the crowd! - Ed.), with considerable public interest, both at the MOD Building and the Commons. Media attention, especially at the Commons, exceeded expectations, where interviews were conducted.

Radio coverage was especially good, including Radio 5 (live), Radio 4 (You and Yours - recorded) and Radio 1 (live). BBC local radio covered it quite well (8 regional stations broadcast interviews on the day - confirmed); also 3 commercial radio stations covered it, including Capital. In Yorkshire the venture was covered in the opening items on the 7.00 am radio news, with the headline 'UFOs, no laughing matter!' Karen Douglas conducted an interview for the BBC World Service (Radio Russia), which was translated and broadcast to Russia!

Illustration on next page.



Space cadets march on

- Do strange beings exist on other planets? Are we being watched?
- According to the Alien Acknowledgement Campaign they do and we are.
- To highlight UFO activity, a group of 21, including London members, marched on Parliament last Monday.
- Campaign leader John Hollman said it was "out of this world!"

From the *Marylebone Mercury*, 2nd June, 1994.

WITNESS SUPPORT GROUP COORDINATOR RESIGNS.

Ken Phillips, coordinator of the WSG for the last 3½ years, has resigned to allow him to devote more time to editing the U.T. The new coordinator is George Spurgeon, a member of the WSG, who has volunteered to run the group on BUFORA's behalf. Anyone wishing to know further details about the WSG's activities must now contact :

George Spurgeon,
18, Marie Lloyd House,
Wenlock Barn Estate,
Murray Grove,
London, N1-7PU.
Tel: 071-251-1690.

WHAT YOU CAN DO - OPERATION LETTER SWAMP

This project was introduced to ask as many people as possible to write to their own MP (*Not to 'Pip Squeak' John Major? God save us all! - Ed.*) asking for comments about the 6 points in the 'Are You Aware' document and try and pin them down on the issues; particularly the Belgian point. We have to make them understand that the UFO issue is for real, to try to make them interested enough to begin asking questions and to get over to them that THEIR right of access to information is being impeded. If you want to move the subject on, please inform everybody of 'Letter Swamp'.

More information about AAC can be obtained by writing or phoning the following address:-

THE AAC, 20 NEWTON GDNS.,
RIPON, N. YORKS. HG4-1QF, Tel:
0765-602898.

++++++

BUFORA's 1995 INTERNATIONAL UFO CONGRESS, entitled: 'UFOs - EXAMINING THE EVIDENCE', will take place over the weekend of August 26 & 27 1995. A full list of speakers and prices will be included in a future issue of UFO TIMES. Anyone requiring further details before then, or anyone (especially from overseas) wishing to present a paper should write (enclosing a SAE) to: Philip Mantle, 1, Woodhall Drive, Batley, W. Yorks., WF17-7SW.

INSIDE THE SEA SHADOW

Dr. Harley A. Byrd

For the past half-century, the U.S. Government has developed many secretive projects like the Polaris and Bullpup missiles, the Stealth Fighter (F111-F) and the Aurora, known to insiders as 'Black Projects'. Only the Military Appropriations Committee and other select personnel are privy to the billions of dollars that have gone into these ultra-secret projects, and the Sea Shadow is no exception.

The first public knowledge of this stealth on stilts came to light in the San Francisco News on November 4th 1982. A brief item on the impending departure from the Todd Shipyards of a large, black barge-ship known at that time as the 'Hughes Mining Barge'. This tremendous, floating dry-dock was the 4,700-ton vessel was originally built by the U.S. Government under the auspices of the CIA. This project is the Sea Shadow and was originally built in the early 1970s and has been in mothballs for sometime until recently. This CIA project has since come out of mothballs in an attempt to recover a Soviet nuclear submarine, and at the time we contacted navy officials, they stated that it was not going to be used to go after the sub.

Our interest was now piqued in that we now had to mission of finding out the real purpose of 'Sea Shadow', or AKA Mining Barge; sometimes referred to as the 'Stealth on Stilts'.

Much has been revealed on the super-secret stealth but very little is known about this mysterious floating-barge. Recently, the senior editor of EVS Productions showed us some footage of a strange barge they had videod off Point Mugu, north of Los Angeles, whilst on a brief sailing venture. I was astonished to see the Sea Shadow sailing along at a fast clip of about 30 knots. I mentioned to the editor, "Isn't that a little fast for a commercial barge?" Her reply was, "It sure is, and it isn't a sub-chaser!"

The construction of the Sea Shadow took place inside the barge apparently between 1983 and 1985. Night tests were conducted in 1986, with the barge keeping the ship under cover for repairs and replenishment during daylight. The tests were suspended in 1986 and not resumed until the ship was unveiled from a cloak of secrecy in 1987. The shaping of the Sea Shadow in its twin-hull configuration gives us a clue to the intentions and past experiences of those who built it.

In May 1993, the Sea Shadow went into action looking for two missing, Icelandic fishing boats near the mysterious Siensfell (skull) region of the eastern peninsula of Iceland, where numerous UFO and E.T. had been reported. Crews of the Icelandic fishing boats are apprehensive and disturbed by the UFO activity under the surface of the Icelandic waters. Also, white, tubular, fluorescent-type lights appear in the night skies and hover above the fishing vessels. The U.S. Information Agency was angry about information leaking out about the Sea Shadow/UFO connection and put a halt to the supply of further data.

Having served in the Pentagon for a number of years, I contacted sources in Washington and came up with the following information: Sea Shadow, now fully operational, addresses itself to all underwater, investigative situa-

Free Energy

Continued from page seven

(in other words, how much coal you can get in one shovelful) depends upon the number of trapped electrons you have in the trapped free electron gas in the collector, and the potential gradient you apply to those trapped Coulombs to potentialise them.

REFERENCES

23. It is easy to test this. Connect several different wires to a single source of potential gradient. With respect to ground, the end of each one of those wires has the same potential gradient as does the original source with respect to ground. If you connect 10 wires to a single '100 volt' potential gradient source, you will have ten 100-volt potential gradients appear. You can use each of these 10 potential gradients as a primary source. From each of these new primary sources, you can branch 10 more, and now you have 100 potential gradient sources. you can treat each of these 100 new sources now as a primary source. To each one you can add a switcher, collector and external load and drive all 100 loads. Or instead, you can put 10 switcher/collector/external load circuits with each of the 100 new primary sources and power all 1,000 external loads. Energy/potential is free from any source so long as you do not demand power from the same source.

24. Per Whittaker and Ziolkowski, this VPF exchange - from consideration of its wave aspects - consists of a harmonic series of bidirectional waves.

25. We are easily permitted to have free energy and violate the 'local energy conservation law for a closed system'. This is because the system is not closed and so instead we must apply local energy conservation for an open system with a hidden source. In any given time interval, the energy taken (scattered) from the system as external work cannot exceed the sum of the unscattered trapped energy that was in the system initially and the unscattered energy that flowed into the system during that time interval.

To be continued in the next issue of
U.T.


tions and is the latest design of our military avante-garde arsenal. The two, thin struts which supports its main hull stand on a pair of submerged, torpedo-like pontoons in what is known as a small, waterplane-area, twin-hull configuration of a swath design which has long been known for its exceptional stability in heavy seas, but in the case of Sea Shadow, there are important, additional advantages.

Firstly, the knife-like struts slicing the water's surface reduces the wake to almost nothing. Secondly, the Noiser (sic) components is diesel electric, so

offs: built to tow sub-hunting sonar arrays at high latitudes, where punishing seas damage equipment and wear out crews aboard conventional ships, the 3379 Tagos-19s are the largest U.S. made swath vessels known. According to naval architect, Joseph McMahan, at McDermott International, where the vessels are built, the swath configuration are well suited to the prototype known as the Tagos-19, whose missions suffered weight-carrying excesses and calm water speed limitations which would be a problem in later models like the sleek, dark stealth-on-stilts. Looking at our highly secretive photos of Sea

my former work place, and they indicated that some activity was going on in the Icelandic and Arctic regions as well as Sea Shadow, AKA Stealth Ship was operating in that region. the ship was towing an instrument barge loaded with underwater detection devices thought to be monitoring SUFOACTIVITY (sic) in that area. The barge had been monitoring SUFO (sic), underwater, mining operations when the stealth ship disappeared, and the latest reports are that the recovery of Sea Shadow is ongoing.

We now have reliable data that the stealth ship, 'Sea Shadow' was operat-



the probable layout is one electric motor in each pontoon, powered by one or more diesel generators up above. Photos show exhaust venting between the swath hull's struts, where the heat would be masked from infrared sensors.

Swath also appears to help in evading radar because it provides a wide base of support from which the ship's sides can slope inward. Normally a ship's sides are nearly vertical and produces a bright radar echo called a broadside flash which is easy to hone in on. Unfortunately, all this capability has a looming price. To look at the Navy's 'Tagos 19' swath surveillance ships, which are said to incorporate lessons from Sea Shadow, illustrates the trade-

Shadow, Mr. McMahan commented to us that the inward slant, or dihedral, of the hull struts would damp out heaving motion in heavy seas by creating vertical drag. It also required a nightmarishly complex structure, and he stated to us by phone that it's outstanding, hydrodynamically, but it's awful for the builder.

After the interview, we were put upon by colleagues, John Lear and Al Bierlik, as to the actual usage of Sea Shadow. We said that the Naval Intelligence Community were well aware of the project and of the aforementioned incidents off Iceland, but dismiss such stories as rubbish. We then called CHINFO (Chief of Naval Intelligence),

ing in the Icelandic/Norwegian waters and has sophisticated instrumentation on board and has been monitoring underwater, alien (UFO), operations and bases. We have further news media reports from Denmark as late as October 1993 that NATO ships are tracking, and have under surveillance, UFO alien crafts in the area of Iceland and Norway.

We hope this information will be of help to your readers and the public in general, since the black projects, such as Sea Shadow, the Aurora, the Stealth, the Blackbird and other dark projects by the U.S. Governments, should not be kept from the public.

UFO SNAPSHOTS

FLYING SAUCER SEEN OVER TOTTENHAM IN NORTH LONDON

DOCTOR MYSTIFIED BY A STRANGE OBJECT HOVERING NEAR HIS HOME IN BRUCE GROVE

What do you think about this report? It was made at a time when there were several reports of a brightly-lit saucer-shaped object over North London. The report file was submitted to Aerospace expert, Roy Dutton, for his evaluation. According to Roy, this report had a significant value with respect to this spatio-temporal Earth orbits, and thus he has designated the object to be of an unknown technology; probably extraterrestrial. Now read the witness' account below:-

I was sitting in my room reading for my exams - I had opened the window and drawn the curtains fully because I was rather hot - when out of the corner of my eye, through the window, I saw a yellowish flash of light, like a cigarette lighter, as if rising from the ground. With the recent spate of IRA attacks in London, I thought it might be yet another attack, but there was no sound and, as I looked for signs of fire and smoke, there was a sprinkling of lights, yellowish and white, in an upward direction. Following the flash, a darkish grey object, and possibly two smaller ones, moved upwards and sideways.

On closer inspection, I realised they were not smoke puffs and went to sit down, but to my utter surprise, when I looked out again for smoke or fire

from the 'blast', the grey object had not only moved closer, but was also glowing eerily. It was disc-shaped, luminous from all parts, with two flashing lights on top and one below. The whole structure, which was quite large, was about as long in diameter as a Boeing commercial jet and hovered motionless and soundless over the roof tops. I phoned a friend off West Green Rd. to ask if he could see it, but his landlady said he wasn't in. I tried calling 150 for advice, but they were not helpful. I then went over to my neighbour's flat in the same building and started knocking. When he opened (the door), he was entertaining two visitors so I told him to have a look outside to see if he could see what I was seeing! He did and started shouting, "It's a UFO, it's a UFO!" His visitors also came to look and stared in awed silence. I asked him for a camera, but he didn't have one.

I ran downstairs and onto Bruce Grove and asked someone the time; it was 10.20 pm. After I had watched it move out of sight to my left, I couldn't see it from the street and things looked normal, so I went back home.

SOURCE: KEN PHILLIPS

DOWN UNDER

WHAT WAS FLYING AROUND BROOME, WESTERN AUSTRALIA, ON 8th AUGUST 1992?

by: Keith Basterfield

A little time has now elapsed since some rather interesting happenings around the remote town of Broome in NW, Western Australia. The passage of time has allowed us to piece together the following account.

The 'Western Australia' newspaper, dated 15th August 1992, broke the initial story. A telephone interview was conducted, that day, by Brian Richards,

investigator for the Perth-based 'UFO Data and Collection Centre'.

Brian's interview confirmed that the chief witnesses lived on the Willie Creek Pearl Farm, some 37 Km north of Broome. Chris Davis and Lella Bailey were out fishing on a clear night. At 20.20 local time, they saw a white crescent, quarter-moon-shaped object fly overhead. It was travelling from the SSE, heading to the NNE. The object, flying vertically with the crescent points trailing, moved at a steady pace. It seemed to be enveloped in a mist, thus having a "fuzzy" appearance overall.

Both witnesses described hearing a faint, droning sound. Total duration of the observation was at least one minute.

Sally Bennet, a researcher for the Melbourne, Victoria-based 'Phenomena Research Australia' group, located and interviewed a Mr. George Read. George had been out to sea near Coulomb Point, some 60 Km north of Broome. He heard a distant, high-pitched sound approaching from the south, then over trees, he saw a boomerang (crescent) shape. In colour, it was a bright, white-golden hue and its angular size was estimated to be 3-4 times that of the full-moon. Over a period of 2-3 minutes it travelled north and was lost to sight over the horizon.

Sally also located a person at Crab Creek, 3 Km south of Broome, who heard a droning sound and then sighted a brilliant, white object with gold edges; it had a crescent shape and was enveloped in "fog."

John Kernott, a resident of Broome who conducts a postal run through the area, came across another person who had also been at Crab Beach that night. Kerry Hogan described seeing, at about 21.00, a crescent-shaped, yellow in colour object which was travelling very fast from the south to the north.

In addition, John interviewed a man called Norm Archer who is work-

ing with the Aborigines at Sunday Island, 180 Km NNE of Broome. He, three other adults and four children were camped in a valley on Sunday Island when a large, yellow light, the shape of a crescent moon, standing upright, cruised above the contour of a hill in front of them.

It (the object) was said to be a yellow colour, with a mist of cloud around it, and this was especially radiating from the inside of the crescent; the crescent 'horns' were trailing. It seemed to be low in the sky and he estimated it looked to be about five times the size of the full-moon. The object moved east to west along the contour of the hill.

As it came to the end of the hill, it turned, and looked then like a yellow pencil, as it had a shape like a flat, crescent-shaped biscuit. It then shot straight up in the air and vanished.

Other witnesses of apparently the same crescent-shaped object included a Mrs. Sarah S. and her husband, who sighted it about 20.30 from a position halfway between Port Hedland and Broome. Also, a Joy Walker and Pam Anthony, staying at the Broome Caravan Park, saw it between 19.30 and 20.00. Finally, a group at the Fort Smith Caravan Park declared they also had seen it between 19.30 and 20.00.

Although suggestions were made as to the identity of the object causing these reports, the remote locality, the duration of the observations and the unique description of a crescent shape, misty in appearance, in the end drew a blank as to conventional explanations.

REFERENCES

1. Bennet, Sally. "Moon crescent mystery over Broome, W.A. North-West." UFO and PHENOMENA. No. 8, Dec. 1992, pp235-241.

2. Kernott, John. Personal communications to UFODATACC in Perth.

3. UFODATACC. Perth. Personal interviews.

Bufora UFO newfile

Top flight UFO cover. UFO is spotted by police. Mystery light in the sky. Advertiser. Daily News. Evening Echo. Western Morning News. Echo. Hoax or not? Net and UFO in near miss. ETS: Are they here? UFO seen. Evening Argus. Western Morning News. The News. Sunday Times.

Keep in touch with all the latest UFO stories in the press, from the UK and abroad.



Subscriptions:

£7 for members
£8 for non-members.
Overseas on request.

Published bi-monthly, all back issues are available enquire for prices.

Available from:

**BM BUFORA ,
London WC1N 3XX.**

Readers Write

Express your views by sending your correspondence to:
The Editor, UFO Times, BM BUFORA,
London, WC1N 3XX.

THE CHRISTIAN POINT OF VIEW

Dear Sir,

This letter is a response to the article by Gordon Millington in the March/April 1994 edition of UFO TIMES concerning the identity of extraterrestrial beings, whether they are literal, biological, non-human entities, or fallen angels as predominantly labelled by Christian theologians.

It is quite a coincidence that this article was the first article I came across in my first viewing of U.T. because I am presently working on a research project entitled 'Approaching Apocalypse'. Much of my work is a collection of over-the-years research in the areas of European integration, the Middle East crisis, developments within Russia and their implications on the New World Order relative to Bible prophecy.

The very first chapter of my book is devoted to some unorthodox, theological views, mostly dealing with the spiritual realm. This includes the theory that there was a pre-adamite race that was ruled by Lucifer before the time of Adam, and that the Sons of God in Genesis 6 were fallen angels who infiltrated the human race in order to genetically corrupt man's lineage so that the Messiah could not have been born. A section of this chapter is also devoted to UFOs as I have sought a link between the theories of Atlantis - which Stan Deyo sufficiently proved in his book 'The Vindicator Scrolls' showing Atlantis to have existed in the Middle East and not in the Atlantic Ocean - and UFOs. Reference is also made to a Tibetan document which passed into the hands of Adolph Hitler called 'The Secret Doctrine', which also spoke of flying craft during the Atlantean Age. The validity of such a scenario is referred to in the 'Branton Report', and may be one of the tools from which stemmed Hitler's Aryan race doctrine.

The belief that aliens are fallen an-

gels in a form designed to deceive man into thinking that he is not alone in the universe has been the common viewpoint in Evangelical and Pentecostal Christianity. However, I myself, once being of like mind, have had to do some serious reevaluating of the extraterrestrial phenomenon and its relation to Biblical theology. In expecting to find massive contradiction between the two viewpoints, I was surprised to find that Bible Theology, through a counter argument, exposes flaws in the view that extraterrestrials are fallen angels. It is not within me to state with authority that fallen angels cannot imitate aliens, or have done so, biblically speaking; the enemy's snare is always one of deception. The theory that fallen angels are imitating aliens so that the world could be prepared into a New World Order, uniting as one against potential, outside threat, is a valid proposal*. However, for this section, I intend to expose some of the flaws in the fallen angel/alien theory.

(For further background reading of this subject the reader is referred to Mary Seal, 60, Fallowfield Rd., Orchard Hills, Walsall, W. Midlands, WS5-3DH. - Ed).

Firstly, reports of abductees who were taken aboard UFOs and subjected to painful, physical examination state, in the majority of cases, that they were abducted against their own free will. Theologically, it is inconceivable within God's laws of the universe that fallen angels are granted with the freedom to abduct human beings at will for their own purposes. This would destroy the concept of a sovereign God as it would put into jeopardy the very concept of man and free will. The spiritual world, whether the good side or the dark side, is purposely unveiled from the human race. Contact with this world is only made when humans willingly use their own free will to do so. Such is the principle of the link between the material and the spiritual world.

Secondly, is the principle of dimensional change between the material and the spiritual world. The results of alien autop-

sies, the description of alien bodies recovered in crash-retrievals and the existence of alien genetic engineering and cryogenic technology in New Mexico, suggests that aliens are literal, biological beings made of material substance, although different in nature in some respects to human beings. Fallen angels do not have the power or means to become biological beings. Spirits mostly present themselves through possession of a biological body or physical substance, but can never become a genuine biological/material body; this would rule out real, biological aliens being deceitful spirits. Those theologians who use the argument that the Sons of God in Genesis 6:2 and Job 1: 6,2,1 had the ability to change state into literal human beings which, while correct, becomes incorrect in using this to support the spirit/alien belief today.

The implications of the existence of alien species does have a fundamental impact on Biblical theology which cannot be overlooked. The general subconscious, theological view on man in relation to the universe is that he is the unique creation within the universe and thus this leaves no room for the existence of another civilisation which would cross-collide with the very doctrine of redemption and how the death of Christ relates to another alien species. However, I feel that Biblical theology can coexist with the new revelations in today's modern world, just as it was when science taught Christianity during the Medieval times that it was the Sun and not the Earth which was at the centre of the solar system.

The theories of pre-adamite civilisations and UFOs are indeed mysteries. However, while the 'picture', or message, to the Adamic race is the bulk of God's revelation for our benefit, the frame, or 'mysteries outside the Adamic race' also plays its part, for one cannot have a picture without a frame. There is a material world which we inhabit, and there are other dimensions whether we like it or not. The fallen angel/alien debate is a moot point permeating religion and ethics; the outcome is what you take as definitive and what you consider not definitive. Thankfully it does not affect the soul of Christianity, which is that man was born in sin and thus needs to be redeemed for which, in my opinion, Jesus Christ came. The UFO mystery is just another mystery within the unique and grand creation of God.

Lastly, I would like to make reference to several factors which I am in the process of acquiring data. Firstly, concerning the super technology which UFO production is based on. Negative mass and its implications seem to be part of this technology and I would like to know more about the theory behind it and how negative mass can be produced. Secondly, in watching William Cooper's 'Project Red Light II', he referred to the UFOs crossing time zones when they suddenly disappeared in flight, only to reappear moments later. What are time zones and how are they defined? Thirdly and lastly, do you have any information pertaining to Project Aquarius and Project Plato.

Sincerely submitted,

Lesmond Fearon,
8, Rostrevor Gdns.,
Hayes,
Middx. UB3-1AH.

(Ed's comment: you may well be correct in your overall assessment, but personally I buy the idea of Charles Fort who wrote that "Man is property - he belongs to something". This concept tallies very well with the works of Eustace Mullins when he speaks of a secret banking cabal having a terrible hold over humanity (who it regards as nothing more than a herd of talking cattle!) by inventing wars, revolutions, famine and money crises, etc., all planned to bring about a one-world order and one-world religion in the not-too-distant future. I commend his books to you: The National Commission for Judicial Reform, P.O. Box 1105, Staunton, VA 24401, U.S.A. My own recommendation is that you order 'The Curse of Canaan').

+++++

**STEUART CAMPBELL ACCUSES
MALCOLM ROBINSON OF
MISREPRESENTING THE FACTS
OVER THE BRANSBY CLARKE
PHOTOGRAPH**

Dear Sir,

In UT29, Malcolm Robinson described a case where an aerial object was photographed in south-west Scotland and he dismissed my explanation that the object was a mirage of a distant mountain.

He refers to the photograph taken by Bransby Clarke on 19th December 1979 from Moffat; a reproduction of the photograph appears as plate 3:3 in my book *The UFO Mystery Solved* (1994), and a full account of the incident can be found in *The Journal of Meteorology* (May/June 1987).

Robinson claims that the object was moving. However, none of the observers reported any movement before the object shrank. Robinson also claims that the object was grey; in fact, the observers reported that it was almost as bright as Venus.

Robinson has not explained to me (or to your readers) why he dismisses my explanation, and I have not heard his explanation.

Yours faithfully,

Steuart Campbell.

(Ed's comment: you are very lucky to have retained the Clarke photo for your book Steuart, because if you had first submitted the said photo to BUFORA, it would have been confiscated and never seen again!).

+++++

WHY I BELIEVE

Dear Ed.

Ron Bishop asks in UT28: "Why do those who have never witnessed a UFO continue to believe in their existence?" As a non-witness, this is why I believe in aliens and UFOs.

The universe in which we live is estimated to be over 14 billion years old and is made up of hundreds of billions of galaxies, each containing millions of stars. How many planets are orbiting these stars would be impossible to estimate. I for one cannot accept that we are the only intelligent life in this incredibly large universe. There are probably thousands of intelligent civilisations out there and it would be typical of man's superioristic nature to think otherwise.

Because of the age of the universe, it is perfectly possible that some civilisations could be many years ahead of ours. Look at

what man has achieved in the past 40 years and think of what he will be capable of in 200 years.

As for interstellar travel, people do not think its possible because it takes us all our time to go to the moon, yet what they have to realise is that we have **only** just stepped into space. A more advanced civilisation on another planet could treat a journey from their planet to ours the same way as we treat a plane journey from London to New York.

Finally, a short word on Bill Dillon's views in UT29. He says: "Human consciousness is a form of energy and where was it before we were born?" He further states: "It was not suddenly created at our birth, so where was it before then?" Well, sorry to disappoint you Bill, but it was created at the exact moment of our birth. Put simply, all things consciousness, senses, and emotions, etc., emerged as soon as the energy in the man's sperm and the woman's egg come together. As for when we expire, our energy dies with us, just the same as when a battery is finished its energy ceases to exist. There is no afterlife, heaven or hell. In fact, if there was a heaven it would be a very packed place since every creature that has lived on this planet would be there as these are all sources of life.

Back to UFOs, people say they defy the laws of physics. Well, they do defy these laws as we know them, but it is a known fact that we only use a fraction of what our brains are capable of. It has been proved recently that tiny sub-atomic particles exceed the speed of light, yet one of the strongest beliefs in physics was that nothing could travel faster than light.

Other questions asked are, "how can UFOs float in mid-air and then accelerate at super-human speeds?" I'm sure everyone has seen super-conducting magnets floating in mid-air. I am convinced that UFOs use either this, or some other magnetic principle to attain their flight. As for the great accelerations said to be impossible for any living entity to survive the G-forces involved, let us not forget that: 1) aliens are not human, and 2) it is logical to assume that UFO pilots are highly trained individuals equipped to withstand high accelerations in the same way as our fighter pilots on Earth are trained for their highly sophisticated crafts. I imagine the shorter the accel-

eration the higher the G-force that can be withstood. Anybody agree with me?

Allan Brown,
Aberdeen.

Ed's comment: I don't know if you have had the opportunity of watching 'Heretics' on TV, but one of the programmes concerned Prof. Laithwaite's principles of anti-gravity. According to Laithwaite, anti-gravity is cheap and easy to produce, although the oil and airline cartels will probably tell you otherwise.

+++++

SWISS READER FINDS BEARDON'S ARTICLE ON FREE ELECTRICAL ENERGY 'SHOCKING'.

(Is Beardon in league with the 'Admiral', one asks? - Ed.)

Dear Sir,

So Now 'The Final Secret of Free Energy' has been revealed, Mr. Beardon says that people all over the world have been starving just because nobody had discovered this secret. And after 5 parts, what a disappointment!

Mr. Beardon's strange definitions of energy, potential, force and so on, make amusing reading but will certainly not change the shape of physics. His basic idea seems to be that by connecting a battery, one can charge up the electrons in his 'collector' without using any energy. When the load is then switched into the circuit, the electrons, with their charge glued onto them, go off to dissipate their energy in the load. Basically a pretty good mix of various parts of physics stirred up so as to become unidentifiable. His ideas are not correct, but a complete refutation would take up pages, so maybe just a couple of simple errors will serve as illustrations.

It is an observed fact that electrons do not obediently move to the surface of a wire when a voltage is applied, nor do electrical currents flow only on the surface of wires. Mr. Beardon has confused this with the 'skin effect' of very high frequency signals.

Neither batteries nor the universe have "infinite" amounts of energy. There can never be anything that is infinite in a finite universe, in the same way that there

cannot be an infinite number of visible stars in the sky, as otherwise the night sky would be infinitely bright. Sometimes I wonder if people actually know what the word 'infinite' means in physics. *(Does anyone? Ed.)*

Let me try to visualise his theory in more detail. Supposing I was to add a capacitor to the light circuit in a room, change the wiring somewhat and then flip the switch quickly enough, would I get a refund from the electricity company at the end of the year? *(Certainly not from John Major's newly privatised monopoly! - Ed.)*

Ever since I have been interested in what you might call the fringe of science, I can remember reading articles about free energy, about how Einstein got it all wrong, and set science back hundreds of years, and how to build perpetual-motion machines. The problem is the story is always the same: usually the unfortunate inventor dies in oblivion *(As did, I believe, Nicola Tesla! - Ed.)*, or he gets murdered by the boss of a petroleum company who was scared of losing his profits *(They weren't in the pay of Marconi, were they? - Ed.)*. In any case, the world is left with a description of some wonderful invention which is somehow missing the important part to make it work. With his use of infinite energy sources, Mr. Beardon belongs to the perpetual-motion machine club.

The main question is: does UFO research need the sort of rubbish that Mr. Beardon is producing? And what is it doing in UFO TIMES, which represents a UFO organisation trying to present a serious image of UFO research to the world? How come UFO TIMES accepts such articles without at least showing them to a competent person beforehand? *(Huh! That'll be the day when BUFORA can get a "competent person" to look at papers submitted to U.T.! - Ed.)*

The thing that worries me most is that UFO TIMES is not alone in going off in weird directions. This also seems to be the fashion in other U.K. UFO magazines. It would be a shame if this trend caught on as the U.K. has some very good researchers.

Yours sincerely,

David I. Norman.
Switzerland.

Ed's comment: Beardon's article was put into U.T. at the behest of a UFO witness who has researched the conspiracy aspect of our subject for a number of years. However, what exactly is the connection between UFOs and 'free' energy (if it exists), I can't really say since I'm unable to peer into the minds of UFO witnesses. In any event, it is always prudent to bear in mind some of the utterances made by scientists about certain things being impossible, for example: "Space travel is utter bilge." Now for the "serious image of UFO research" attributable to BUFORA: it does no serious research at all! BUFORA is a 'broad church', UFO club which collects, but never disseminates, UFO reports and evidence. If you don't believe me, try sending BUFORA a good UFO film/photo for evaluation: you will never see the same again, nor will you get a report. Perhaps BUFORA should change its name to the British UFO Confiscation Association. I apologise for shattering your illusions about our Association. P.S. don't expect me to be in the editor's chair after this issue now I have made these remarks.

+++++

CORRECTIONS FROM SWEDEN

Dear Sir,

Your issue number 30 carried two Swedish investigation reports by Clas Svahn under the heading 'The European Dimension', courtesy of Eurofon News, No. 3, April 1991. Since the English translations were first published in early 1994, issue No. 37 of our AFU Newsletter, this is an impossibility. The original reports (in Swedish) were from UFO Sweden's 'UFO-Aktuellt'.

I suggest that you credit 'AFU Newsletter' (and UFO-Aktuellt) for publishing these reports, just as we credited 'UFO TIMES' for three "unknown missile" reports published in the very same issue of 'AFU Newsletter'. The editorial information on page 2 of our newsletter encourages reproduction "if AFU Newsletter is referenced as your source."

Anders Liljegren,
Editor, AFU Newsletter.

THE XENOBIOTIC PRESENCE

by Albert Budden

INTRODUCTION

My booklet 'ALLERGIES AND ALIENS' outlined how those individuals who have undergone alien contact/abduction experiences are suffering from a syndrome known as electrical hypersensitivity and multiple allergy and that their experiences are symptoms of this condition.

It is shown that the modern, global phenomenon reported as a physical abduction by non-human entities is in fact nothing of the sort, but rather, a vivid hallucinatory perception as a dramatised form of out-of-body experience. It also demonstrated how such neurological visions come about as a result of a lifelong exposure to electromagnetic fields from a variety of environmental sources such as transmitting radio and TV antennae, pylons, electrical substations, mobile phone systems and lastly, but not least, geological faults in the Earth's crust.

It is during this period that such individuals become more and more eligible for an encounter experience and, significantly, the body and brain undergo an electrical initiation and are sensitised to electrical fields in the environment in the formative years by an exposure to a major electrical event such as a lightning strike or electrocution.

Electromagnetically active locations (which can be easily plotted on maps) are referred to as 'hot spots' by the World Health Organisation, and such places, in the long term, have an ex-

tremely detrimental effect on the health of those individuals who spend prolonged periods of time in them. Their symptoms are described and catalogued in ALLERGIES AND ALIENS (ISBN: 1-899071-00-8) and it was also shown how the alien abduction or contact experience is intrinsically linked to such environmentally produced illnesses and locations.

ENCOUNTERS: WELFARE MESSAGES FROM THE BODY

It was outlined how the content of the visionary experiences that such irradiated individuals perceive reflect the clinical condition(s) they are suffering from: e.g. as did an electrically hypersensitive girl who, after having developed an overgrowth of the yeast-like infection 'candida' in her vagina due to long term exposure to electrical fields from nearby pylons, experienced an hallucination involving sexual intercourse with an alien being. Anomalous sexual feelings can be induced by the electrical stimulation of the septal area of the brain, and excessive sugar intake is known to feed candida, so in the same vision, she was expressly forbidden to eat sweets by an alien figure.

Such messages from the body via imagery from the unconscious in these experiences are typical. It is clear that such life-like visions contain information in sometimes thinly disguised, symbolic form, which are messages about the biological crisis in the body. Such states of physiological disorder are made worse by levels of stress, as they too create dysfunctional chemical changes in the body, and in combination with nutritional, chemical and electromagnetic loads upon the system, such emotional pressure is a major contributory factor in precipitating what has been called a close encounter of the fourth kind in ufological parlance.

This is, as stated, an episode where the individual undergoes a vivid and life-like experience of an abduction by alien beings: sometime into an "alien

craft".

THE IRRESPONSIBILITY OF ETH ADHERENTS

The modern flying saucer mythology into which these experiences are set is widespread and is propagated by many sane, qualified and intelligent people. The idea has caught the imagination of so vigorously that even people in positions of authority continue to propagate information which they feel must be true. It is through this tangled web of modern folklore, hearsay, delusion, misinterpretation, wish-fulfilment, sublimated religion and downright fantasy that the clinical evidence presented, cuts.

All abductees, as they have come to be known, are suffering from a range of environmental illnesses and their experiences are a side-effect, albeit an intriguing one, of their condition. Let it be said once and for all, there are no extraterrestrials, interdimensional travellers or alien intelligences of any kind involved in these experiences. Instead, there is the discovery of a fascinating, but somewhat sinister, area of study for psychologists, psychiatrists, general practitioners, neurologists, counsellors, clinical ecologists or anyone who is interested in this strange and bizarre phenomenon largely brought about by electrical and electronic pollution which occurs as an unwanted side-effect of our modern, technological culture.

Such a stance will no doubt earn me the identity of a secret government agent* who is working to keep the Truth from society by many deluded individuals in the world of ufology.

(According to the 'Elite' scripts, Albert is a "government mole"! - Ed).

Nothing could be further from the truth. I am a lone investigator with an informal relationship with one other medically-oriented researcher, Anne Silk, who both fuels and shares my views and discoveries and advises me on a consultancy basis. Believers in

alien intelligences will no doubt label me as such an official agent as they protect their belief-systems with a vigour which rivals religious fervour. To these individuals I say this:-

It is your misinterpretation of the data that actively directs the attention of the abductees away from the central issue here. This is the pressing danger and demonstratively real hazard to the health and quality of life that abductees are subject to. Such sufferers do not need your fantasies: they need medical attention. It is grossly irresponsible of you, in your ignorance, to propagate a belief system at the expense of their health and well-being; for this is exactly what you are doing.

XENOBIOTICS

The practical system explored in ALLERGIES AND ALIENS is a way of studying a range of bizarre experiences classified as visitations. Whilst it is argued that the only 'visit' involved is that of the unconscious mind on the conscious, as opposed to the involvement of any kind of alien intelligence from other realms, it also demonstrates that the human body identifies influences abroad in the environment which impinge upon its cells and tissues as being alien to its internal chemistry and the organic electrical activity of the nervous system and brain.

These biologically alien influences are artificial electrical and electromagnetic fields as electrically produced signals and unintended leakages from the National Grid in one form or another. The body and mind apprehends them as totally ALIEN to its internal electromagnetic environment as such fields destabilise its regulatory systems. These are the systems which affect glandular function, neurotransmitters and the central and autonomic nervous systems, producing allergies and free radicals, although this brief list of targets for dysfunction is by no means exhaustive; such environmental fields are therefore xenobiotic or alien to the body.

When the electrically hypersensitive reaches an acute end-state in his or her condition, the mind, which will have already been drawn into delivering communications about the dysfunctional state of the body's systems as hallucinations or apparitions, will extend these visions or visitations to produce a more vivid and lifelike scenario involving depictions of aliens. Typically, these are experienced as entering their home during the hours of darkness and removing them to an alien realm where they are medically examined and operated on.

Physical injuries often remain after such visionary depictions, and there have been many commentators on alien abduction experiences who insist that these are the result of a psychosomatic process where the body complies with the vivid vision to produce appropriate physical trauma. They compare the stigmata on the hands of religious visionaries with the physical marks and bleeding of abductees, maintaining that it is the same basic process at work; they are wrong.

The type, extent, frequency, distribution and incidence of such injuries without the existence of belief belies this explanation. Such injuries have occurred outside of alien abduction experiences whilst the subject is asleep and are the various effects of electromagnetic fields on the body.

Electrically hypersensitive individuals irradiated during the long immobility of sleep in hot spot locations produce not only perceptions of an internal, virtual reality, but also physical, allergic reactions to the fields they are exposed to.

One abductee vividly felt the grip of tiny, alien fingers on her wrist as she was pulled and floated upwards from her bed by these apparent alien intruders. She 'knew' it was a physically real experience because in the morning she had a red weal around the wrist which

eventually peeled like sunburn. She had also been wearing a stainless steel watch and strap on the wrist, and being in an electromagnetic hot spot caused by the intersection of three microwave communication beams from local radio masts, had suffered the effect of re-radiation. This is where metal concentrates the electrical field, rather like a lens, which focussed them into the tissues around her wrist.

From this, and other similar examples of physical trauma, it is clear that the imagery of these alien dramas is cued and is driven by the physical effects of the fields upon the body.

Another electrical hypersensitive suffered electrical burns due to her proximity to a microwave radio source and which took the form of a row of blisters along her side which she discovered when she awoke. During the night, she had an abduction experience where she felt she was floated out of her bed, out of the window and into an alien realm. It was here, as she lay on an elevated surface, that she experienced an alien approach her with the intent of incising her abdomen with something which looked like a long, silver pencil with a slim gas-flame coming from the end. She was paralysed, but in defence, summoned all her strength and deflected the alien arm so that the flame caught her with a glancing burn on her side.

Numerous other examples of physical trauma in abduction experiences, or similar, apparent, alien contact, can be traced directly to the xenobiotic presence and effect of an electrical or electromagnetic field source on electrically hypersensitive individuals.

There is, therefore, a bioelectrical/thermoelectrical mechanism which can explain the juxtaposition of lifelike experiences of alien visitation and subsequent physical trauma.

BUFORA

BUFORA CENTRAL OFFICE

BUFORA Central Office will deal with all membership enquiries (No personal visits please).

BM BUFORA, London, WCIN 3XX. Tel: 0444 236738 (Answering Machine)

EDITOR

Ken Phillips
16 Wedgewood Walk
London
NW6 1XR

Assistant Editor

Christine Williams

Research Editor

Steve Gamble

Feature Editor

Philip Mantle

COUNCIL 1994-95

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| PRESIDENT: | Major Sir Patrick Wall, MC VRD RM (Rtd) |
| VICE PRESIDENT: | Lionel E. Beer, FRAS |
| FOUNDER PRESIDENT: | G.F.N. Knewstubb, CEng FBIS |
| COUNCIL CHAIRMAN: | John Spencer |
| HON SECRETARY: | Arnold West |
| TREASURER: | Simon Rose |

COUNCIL MEMBERS

| | |
|------------------|-----------------|
| Manfred Cassirer | Jenny Randles |
| Philip Mantle | Simon Rose |
| Clive Potter | Arnold West |
| Robert Digby | Michael Wootten |
| Paul Doran | Susan Mantle |

Consultants to Council: Hilary Evans, Ralph Noyes, Christopher Tancred-Lawson

MEMBERSHIP SECRETARY

James Danby

PRESS OFFICER

Philip Mantle

DIRECTOR OF PUBLICATIONS

Mike Wooteu,
(Address as Central Office)
Tel: 0352-732473

Grants are available (by referee) to any group or individual who wishes to professionally publish their research. Synopses should be sent to the Director of Publications

NEWSCLIPPING ARCHIVE

Michael Hudson,
71 Knight Avenue,
Canterbury,
Kent,
CT2 8PY

The pages of *UFO Times* are open to anyone wishing to contribute a paper. Submissions can be either typed or supplied on 3" Amstrad disc or 3.5" disc (MSDOS). All discs will be returned.

(C) BUFORA Ltd 1994

Views expressed in any papers presented in *UFO Times* do not necessarily represent those of the Editor or BUFORA Ltd.

WITNESS CONFIDENTIALITY

The British UFO Research Association realises the importance of treating cases submitted to the Association by witnesses as confidential. In the light of this, The BUFORA Code of Practice has been devised and employed throughout the Association to guarantee that the utmost care is taken when dealing with witness personal details and case report material.

It is also the policy of *UFO Times* not to publish the names or addresses of witnesses who are not in the 'public domain'. The material and personal details of witnesses who have been published in the media will be treated with care and empathy by the editorship.

THE BRITISH UFO RESEARCH ASSOCIATION LIMITED (by guarantee)

Founded 1964. Registered office: B.M. BUFORA, London WCIN 3XX. Registered in London; 12349924. Incorporating the London UFO Research Association (founded 1959) and the British UFO Association (founded 1962).

AIMS

1. To encourage, promote and conduct unbiased scientific research of unidentified flying object (UFO) phenomena throughout the United Kingdom.
2. To collect and disseminate evidence and data relating to unidentified flying objects (UFOs).
3. To co-ordinate UFO research throughout the United Kingdom and to co-operate with others engaged in such research throughout the world.

MEMBERSHIP is open to all who support the aims of the association and whose application is approved by the executive committee. Applications, forms and general information can be obtained from BUFORA's registered office.

MEMBER SOCIETIES & ASSOCIATE GROUPS Includes Britain's oldest UFO group, BFSB, 3 Orchard Road, Coal Pit Heath, Bristol, Avon, BS17 2PB. Associate groups include: The Northamptonshire UFO Research Centre and Skyscan.

RESEARCH AND INVESTIGATION

DIRECTOR OF RESEARCH

Stephen Gamble,
Address as Central Office

DIRECTOR OF INVESTIGATIONS

Philip Mantle,
1 Woodhall Drive,
Healey Lane,
West Yorkshire,
WF17 7SW

The National Investigations Committee co-ordinates investigation initiatives across the British Isles and acts as an open forum for any group or individual interested in the objective investigation of the UFO phenomenon. NIC meetings are held around the country. Dates and venues of these meetings can be obtained from the NIC Secretary. The NIC is funded by BUFORA and by donation. 24 HOUR UFO HOTLINE 0582-763218

Research Grants are available to any group or individual (subject to referee) who wishes to initiate objective research of the UFO phenomena. Details of these grants can be obtained from the Director of Research.

It is permissible for members to use material in this publication for their own personal use, provided it is done on a limited basis. Where material is used for publication, acknowledgment should be given to BUFORA and the appropriate contributor.

UFO Times is produced and distributed on behalf of BUFORA by Information Management, 47d Roland Gardens, London, SW7 3PG. Tel/ Fax 071-373-3432.

MAIN UFO LIST BOOKS FOR SALE

List A/94
SPRING
1994

LIONEL BEER
(SPACELINK BOOKS)
115 Hollybush Lane
HAMPTON
Middlesex
TW12 2QY

★ Additions to list

ALL ITEMS ARE IN STOCK - PRICES INCLUDE POSTAGE



POPULAR PAPERBACKS

- ★ **ALIEN ENCOUNTERS** by Dr David Jacobs. "SECRET LIFE" (1992) 335 pages. £6.55
ALIEN LIASON by Timothy Good. 1992 edition. Index. 12 plates. 258 pages. £5.45
AMAZING UFOs AND ALIENS by R Matthews. 1992 Children's books. 126 pages. £1.50
DIMENSIONS - A Casebook of Alien Contact by Jacques Vallee. 1988. Index. 315 pages. £4.50
An EXPERIMENT WITH ALIEN INTELLIGENCE by "Larry Kingston". 1991. General. 112 pages. £6.30
SKY CRASH by Jenny Randles, B Butler, D Street. 1984. 1980 Rendlesham case. Illustrated. 385 pages. £5.00
★ **TRANSFORMATION - The Breakthrough** by Whitley Strieber. 1988. 'Communion' sequel. 255 pages. £4.25
The UFO CONSPIRACY by Jenny Randles. The first 40 years. Recommended. Illustrated. 224 pages. £5.45
The UFO ENCYCLOPEDIA compiled by John Spencer. 1991. 16 colour plates. Useful ref. 446 pages. £7.75
UFOs - AFRICAN ENCOUNTERS by Cynthia Hind of Zimbabwe. 1982. Rec'd. 8 plates. 240 pages. £3.80
UFOs, PAST, PRESENT & FUTURE by Robert Emenecker. 1974. Mainly USA angle. 212 plates. £2.95

QUALITY PAPERBACKS

- ABOVE TOP SECRET** by Timothy Good. Worldwide cover-up. Recommended. 16 plates. 590 pages. £9.00
ADVANCED AERIAL DEVICES REPORTED DURING THE KOREAN WAR by Richard Haines. Illustrated. 80 pages. £6.95
★ **ALIEN IDENTITIES** by Richard Thompson. 1993. Wide coverage. Recommended. Index. 505 pages. £16.00
★ **ANGELS AND ALIENS** by Keith Thompson. 1991. Serious UFO work. Broad view. Index. 295 pages. £7.20
The CIRCLEMAKERS by Andrew Collins. 1992. Circles and 'orgone energy'. 70 b/w photos. 352 pages. £5.70
The COSMIC PULSE OF LIFE by Trevor Constable. Rev. 1990. Bio-UFOs and orgone energy. 50 plates. 488 pages. £19.00
EXTRA-TERRESTRIALS AMONG US by George Andrews. 1986. Recommended bargain. Illustrated. 310 pages. £6.00
FLYING SAUCERS - SERIOUS BUSINESS by Frank Edwards. 1966 classic, reprinted. Illustrated. 318 pages. £5.00
HOUSE OF LORDS UFO DEBATE edited by John Michell. Hansard text of 18 Jan 79. Illustrated. 115 pages. £3.50
★ **LIFE BEYOND PLANET EARTH** by Janet & Colin Bord. 1991. UFOs and SETI. Illustrated. 238 pages. £7.70
LOOKING FOR ALIENS by Jenny Randles & Peter Hough. 1991. Off-beat angles. Illustrated. 240 pages. £9.50
★ **THE MOTHMAN PROPHECIES** by John Keel. 1991 edition. Winged monsters in West Virginia. 275 pages. £16.50
★ **PASSPORT TO MAGONIA** by Jacques Vallee. 1993 edition of 1969 work. UFOs and folklore. 8 plates. 380 pages. £15.00
SILENT INVASION by Ellen Crystal. 1991. USA alien encounters. 16 illus pages. 200 pages. £12.30
★ **THE ONLY PLANET OF CHOICE** edited by Phyllis Schlemmer & Palden Jenkins. 1993. Channelling. 400 pages. £10.40
UFOs OVER HAMPSHIRE AND THE ISLE OF WIGHT by Robert Price. 1990. Local cases. 8 plates. 142 pages. £6.40
UFOs OVER PLYMOUTH (booklet) edited by Bob Boyd. Devonshire cases. Diagrams. 34 pages. £1.00

HARDBACKS

- ALIEN LIASON** by Timothy good. 1991. Popular UFO update. Bargain. 8 plates. Index. 250 pages. £8.50
AN EXPERIMENT WITH SPACE by Robert Morison. Vortex propulsion ideas. Bargain. 62 pages. £2.50
The GULF BREEZE SIGHTINGS by Ed & Frances Walters. USA. 1990. 32 colour plates. 348 pages. £13.35
★ **MAJESTIC** by Whitley Strieber. 1989. Billed as a novel based on fact. Bargain. 315 pages. £4.00
PERSPECTIVES by John Spencer. 1989. Abductions dept. 8 plates. Special bargain. 255 pages. £5.50
PHANTOMS OF THE SKY by David Clarke & Andy Roberts. 1990. Wide-ranging. 8 plates. 204 pages. £14.00
SCIENCE AND THE UFOs by Jenny Randles & Peter Warrington. 1985. 8 plates. Index. 215 pages. £12.00
SECRET LIFE by David Jacobs. 1992. UFO abductions at first hand, genetic testing? 336 pages. £16.50
UFOs AND HOW TO SEE THEM by Jenny Randles. 1992. General. 100 photos including colour. Bargain. 144 pages. £10.00

LARGE HARDBACKS (A4 SIZE) - BARGAIN SELECTION

- The AGE OF THE UFO** edited by Peter Brookesmith (Orbis) 1984. Colour illustrations. 208 pages. £10.00
The ALIEN WORLD (from The Unexplained). Black Cat edition. Colour illustrations. 95 pages. £4.50
★ **UFO ENCOUNTERS** editors: Jerome Clark & Marcello Truzzi. 1992. Colour illustrations. Good value. 128 pages. £8.00
★ **UFO INVESTIGATION** by Reuben Stone. 1993. Blitz edition. Good text, well illustrated. 80 pages. £4.55
UFOS: WHERE DO THEY COME FROM Black Cat edition. Colour illustrations. 95 pages. £4.50
★ **WORLD ATLAS OF UFOS** edited by John Spencer (UFOS, THE DEFINITIVE CASEBOOK). Recommended. 190 pages. £10.00

MAGAZINES

- FORTEAN TIMES** remarkable value for money - latest issue - 1994. 68 pages. £2.40
SPACELINK (UK) Four assorted issues published by L Beer. 1968-70. Well illustrated. 32 pages. Quarto. Bargain. £2.00
SPACEQUEST (UK) 1978. 28 glossy pages. A4 size. Set of first three issues: £2.00. #2 & #3 together: £1.00
UFO AFRINEWS edited by Cynthia Hind. A5 size. #1-1988; #2-1989; #3-1990; #4-1991; #5,#6-1992; #7,#8-1993 Each £2.00

ALL PRICES INCLUDE POSTAGE AND PACKING: Please send payment with order. Cheques and British Postal Orders should be made payable to Lionel Beer. USA dollar checks and dollar money orders are not acceptable - sorry! Foreign currency notes are accepted at tourist exchange rates; please allow £1.00 for bank commission. International registration or insurance is an optional extra at £2.50 and sometimes speeds delivery. SAVE POSTAGE! Books may be collected at London meetings of BUFORA or from Hampton Village Antiques, 76 Station Road, Hampton, Middlesex. Foreign customers are asked to add 10% to the above prices to cover higher postage prices.