


UFO TIMES

Number 27 January/February 1994

ISSN 0958-4846



BUFORA CENTRAL OFFICE

BUFORA Central Office will deal with all membership enquiries. (No personal visits please).

B.M. BUFORA, London WC1N 3XX

COUNCIL 1993-94

PRESIDENT: Major Sir Patrick Wall, MC VRD RM (Rtd)
VICE PRESIDENT: Lionel E. Beer, FRAS
FOUNDER PRESIDENT: G.F.N. Knewstubb, CEng FBIS
COUNCIL CHAIRMAN: Stephen Gamble, FIMLS AFBIS
VICE CHAIRMAN & SECRETARY: John Spencer
TREASURER: Simon Rose

COUNCIL MEMBERS

Manfred Cassirer
Paul Fuller
Philip Mantle
Clive Potter
Robert Digby

Jenny Randles
Simon Rose
Arnold West
Michael Wootten
Paul Doran

Consultants to Council: Hilary Evans, Ralph Noyes, Christopher Tancred-Lawson

MEMBERSHIP SECRETARY

James Danby

PRESS OFFICER

Philip Mantle

DIRECTOR OF PUBLICATIONS

Mike Wootten,
(Address as Central Office)
Tel: 0352-732473

Grants are available (by referee) to any group or individual who wishes to professionally publish their research. Synopses should be sent to the Director of Publications

NEWSPCLIPPING ARCHIVE

Michael Hudson,
71 Knight Avenue,
Canterbury,
Kent,
CT2 8PY

WITNESS CONFIDENTIALITY

The British UFO Research Association realises the importance of treating cases submitted to the Association by witnesses as confidential. In the light of this, The BUFORA Code of Practice has been devised and employed throughout the Association to guarantee that the utmost care is taken when dealing with witness personal details and case report material.

It is also the policy of UFO Times not to publish the names or addresses of witnesses who are not in the 'public domain'. The material and personal details of witnesses who have been published in the media will be treated with care and empathy by the editorship.

THE BRITISH UFO RESEARCH ASSOCIATION LIMITED (by guarantee)

Founded 1964. Registered office: B.M. BUFORA, London WC1N 3XX. Registered in London: 12349924. Incorporating the London UFO Research Association (founded 1959) and the British UFO Association (founded 1962).

AIMS

1. To encourage, promote and conduct unbiased scientific research of unidentified flying object (UFO) phenomena throughout the United Kingdom.
2. To collect and disseminate evidence and data relating to unidentified flying objects (UFOs).
3. To co-ordinate UFO research throughout the United Kingdom and to co-operate with others engaged in such research throughout the world.

MEMBERSHIP Membership is open to all who support the aims of the association and whose application is approved by the executive committee. Applications, forms and general information can be obtained from BUFORA's registered office.

MEMBER SOCIETIES & ASSOCIATE GROUPS Includes Britain's oldest UFO group, BFSB, 3 Orchard Road, Coal Pit Heath, Bristol, Avon, BS17 2PB. Associate groups include: The Northamptonshire UFO Research Centre and Skyscan.

RESEARCH AND INVESTIGATION

DIRECTOR OF RESEARCH

Stephen Gamble,
Address as Central Office

DIRECTOR OF INVESTIGATIONS

Philip Mantle,
1 Woodhall Drive,
Healey Lane,
West Yorkshire,
WF17 7SW

The National Investigations Committee co-ordinates investigation initiatives across the British Isles and acts as an open forum for any group or individual interested in the objective investigation of the UFO phenomenon. NIC meetings are held around the country. Dates and venues of these meetings can be obtained from the NIC Secretary. The NIC is funded by BUFORA and by donation. 24 HOUR UFO HOTLINE 0582-763218

Research Grants are available to any group or individual (subject to referee) who wishes to initiate objective research of the UFO phenomena. Details of these grants can be obtained from the Director of Research.

EDITOR

Ken Phillips
B.M. BUFORA
London
WC1N 3XX

ASSISTANT EDITORS

John Spencer

Robert Moore

RESEARCH EDITOR

Steve Gamble

FEATURE EDITORS

Jenny Randles

Gary Anthony

The pages of UFO Times are open to anyone wishing to contribute a paper. Submissions can be either typed or supplied on 3" Amstrad disc or 3.5" disc (MSDOS) All discs will be returned.

(c) BUFORA Ltd 1993

Views expressed in any papers presented in UFO Times do not necessarily represent those of the editor or BUFORA Ltd.

It is permissible for members to use material in this publication for their own personal use, provided it is done on a limited basis. Where material is used for publication, acknowledgement should be given to BUFORA and the appropriate contributor.

**UFO Times is produced and distributed on behalf of BUFORA by
Newton Mann Ltd, Stretton Road,
Tansley, Matlock, Derbyshire DE4 5GE
Tel: 0629 583941 Fax: 0629 580479**

CONTENTS

Editorial	3	The Quantock Horror – Part III.....	11–13
Alien Encounters.....	4–7	The Unspeakable	14
– The Abduction Experience		Reviews	15–16
<i>Gordon Millington</i>		Readers Write.....	17–19
The Final Secret of Free Energy – Part II	8–9	Contact Corner	20
<i>T.E. Beardon</i>		Diary.....	20
The European Dimension	10–11		

UFO TIMES EDITORIAL

THE WITNESS SUPPORT GROUP Vs BUFORA: *DECREE NISI OR ABSOLUTE?*

I am not writing this editorial to either advocate for, or against, the concept of ‘witness counselling’ since I consider myself to be partisan on the matter, and in any event, I feel sure that much of the correspondence in the ‘Readers Write’ column, now and in the near future, will reveal the consensus as to whether BUFORA should or should not become involved in this controversial area. (See the letter by researcher and author, Hilary Evans, in UT25 initiating the topic).

Partisanship notwithstanding, I do feel it is my duty to reveal certain incidents to you which might make you aware of just how easy it is to destabilise and demoralise such a group of traumatised and vulnerable persons. You see, whether we believe in them or not, close-encounter UFO witnesses make statements or convey messages whose themes are at variance to established human laws, politics and ethics, and as such, makes the witnesses targets for anyone with a vested interest in these foundations. Therefore, if it be desired to undermine or eradicate a group such as the WSG (who do, in fact, discuss such matters as **alternative lifestyles and/or other powers!!**) then first one must infiltrate it with one’s own agent(s), or, if that is not easily done, get one of the participants to report back so that one may prepare one’s attack; this is one of the basic laws of Intelligence procedures. The next stage of your operation is the seeding of disinformation, character assassination or the conducting of intimidatory acts. **DO TRY TO UNDERSTAND THIS IF YOU WISH TO FULLY APPRECIATE THE UFO CLOSE-ENCOUNTER SITUATION AND ALL ITS RAMIFICATIONS!**

As a matter of historical record, this is what happened to the old ‘Witness Social Network’ (a phone link-up based on self-

counselling and initiated by Dr. A. Keul for the ASSAP-BUFORA Axis). The first assault came by way of “anonymous” psychic messages containing personal information about a certain relative of one of the witnesses and seeded into the group by an unwitting BUFORA investigator: information, by the way, that not even the coordinator of the group (myself) was in cognisance of – so how come this information found its way to outsiders!? There then followed an escalating level of phone-call abuse, much of which was of an anonymous and intimidatory nature, and which ultimately led to the terrorisation of the group members; many of whom were women. This frightful state of affairs caused me to terminate the group in the interests of the witnesses’ own welfare and peace of mind.

After this exercise in intimidation the suspect phone-calls ceased as suddenly as they started. Regrettably though, the same methodology is currently being used against the WSG (two members have already changed their telephone numbers and two have demanded the destruction of their case files: such is the level of fear and uncertainty that has been instilled into the group by external influences!) and things have reached such a pitch that, unless there is some way of rectifying matters, the group will disassociate itself from BUFORA entirely and might even self-destruct.

Let us face the facts: we are in a dangerous mind-game situation here from which we have no apparent protection whatsoever. We can’t run, we can’t hide and we can’t fight: all we can do is bow to the inevitable and concede defeat to ‘something’ that outmanoeuvres us at every stage.

Ken.

ALIEN ENCOUNTERS *THE ABDUCTION EXPERIENCE*

by Gordon Millington

If the UFO phenomenon has a message for humanity, or even simply a meaning, it can be revealed only through such interactions as it chooses to inaugurate with individuals of our race. There is no equality in such enforced meetings, for the power relationships are overwhelmingly unilateral and generally humiliating to the human, uncomprehendingly herded like a farm animal by his captors. His privacy, both physical and mental, is likely to be violated with neither the courtesy of explanation nor the assurance of benevolence. This ruthless disrespect for human dignity is the surest indication of the true character of the abductors, though there do seem to be some whose attitude does them more credit. Small wonder, then, that the abduction experience is invariably traumatic in some degree, so that Jacques Vallee has stigmatised the whole apparatus as the feedback loop of a control system whose purposes are as opaque as they are questionable.

There is always, however, the possibility that in many cases, especially those carried out solely by the small bug-eyed creatures generally perceived as callous and non-empathic, the executives of the abduction may in fact be merely the android or robotic agents of those in overall control. The reported reactions of victims must be interpreted in the light of the experiences claimed. Orwell has shown that it is quite possible to learn to love Big Brother despite or even perhaps because of the fact that he has tortured you, and the perceptions of abductees like Whitley Strieber that their tormentors are in essence good should be viewed with considerable suspicion. Mental resistance is possible, it seems, and should be maintained throughout the period of subjugation, while support and therapy, such as have been offered by Budd Hopkins, may subsequently be needed.

It seems to me that abductions may take place at either of two levels, which I have tentatively labelled 'objective' and 'subjective', though the division is not always absolute. I describe as 'objective' the reality of an abduction which takes place in time and space as we normally experience them and where the presence of a UFO is observed, preferably by more than one witness. 'Subjective' abduction occurs when the event takes place wholly or mainly within the reality dimension of the UFO, which it appears able to project downwards in a 'cone of silence', producing what is sometimes called an 'Oz effect'. The abduction of Villas-Boas would thus be classified as wholly objective, while that of Schirmer ceased to be so when he encountered the landed ufonauts and was translated into their reality. Since these early

cases, the tendency seems to have been a movement away from objective abductions and towards an increasing use of the subjective type. Though the physical effects of an objective abduction may, as we have seen, be quite severe, the residue of a subjective abduction is likely to be mainly psychological, though none the less traumatic.

Close encounters of the fourth kind (CE4s), as abduction cases are called, often occur during a series of less intimate contacts in which UFOs and sometimes also their occupants, are observed without an abduction occurring (CE3s). A concentration or 'flap' of UFO events, such as struck the Brazilian island of Colares in 1977, may assume epidemic proportions, and ten years later a similar, though fortunately less intensive and virulent, infestation happened in the isolated Florida community of Gulf Breeze. According to Donald M. Ware of the Mutual UFO network (MUFON), 68 reports from 135 witnesses were filed there in the space of six months, describing 9 time lapse occurrences, 6 attacks by paralysing blue beams and 4 sightings of alien beings. The principal witness to this series of events and joint author with his wife Frances of a book (Walters E. & F. 1990) describing the sufferings of himself and his loyal, supportive family, was a master builder named Ed Walters, who was able to secure many remarkable photographs of the UFOs concerned. Timothy Good (Good ed. 1990) describes his work as "undoubtedly the most important book on the subject for years", and declares that he "was impressed by the integrity of the witnesses" on his visit to the town in 1989.

MUFON conducted a thorough and protracted investigation with the full co-operation of the Walters family and obtained expert evidence to validate the authenticity of the photographs, which show several types of craft all sharing a resemblance to rather baroque types of lampshade. He even managed to obtain pictures including the blue beam striking down from it. According to Walter H. Andrus, MUFON's international director, "on or before November 11 1987, the intelligence behind the UFOs implanted a tiny communication device within Ed's head, whereby they could communicate by voice or a humming sound to alert him to the proximity of their craft... On May 1 1988, when Ed was rendered unconscious by a white beam of light ... the implant was probably surgically removed, thus ending his UFO experience." Walters found that he had scars to bear witness to this surgery.

His persecutor was of the commonly reported USA type made famous by Whitley Strieber, with big black eyes and in its hand a glowing silver rod analogous to a 'magic wand'. He drew back the blinds one night to find such a creature staring at him through the window glass, and on another occasion was out driving his truck when five of them beamed successively down on to the road ahead of him and began to march in a 'lock-step' towards him, moving their wands up and down in unison like subalterns on a parade. Ed, very sensibly, did not wait to see what they wanted. He reversed his truck and managed to escape, though he was eventually captured and intends to seek a hypnotic recovery of the memories from the time lost during his abduction.

Apart from the obvious mental suffering which Ed and his family courageously endured, most of the contacts he describes in his book remained at the level of objective physical reality. When he saw a UFO others saw it too, and both Ed and his wife were zapped by the blue beams. The robotic behaviour of the entities marching on the road raises doubts of their autonomy as conscious beings – they were perhaps no more than android slaves of the actual intelligence perceived as directing the course of events. When Alfred Burtoo entered the landed UFO on the canal side at Aldershot (Good T. 1987), he did so at the invitation of small robotic creatures and his only verbal communication was with a disembodied voice, just as was that of Ed Walters.

Another objective case is that of Travis Walton of Snowflake, Arizona, felled in 1975 by a blue beam from a UFO in full view of his fellow foresters, who fled into the woods. On their return there was no sign of Travis, whom they were then suspected of having murdered. He returned, however, six days later, claiming to have been captured by bug-eyed ufonauts and dumped several miles away (Sachs 1980). Similarly, in 1978 Jan Wolski, a Polish farmer who had never heard of UFOs, was lifted from his horse and cart at Emilcin and taken through a 'tube' to a 'bus in the sky' in full consciousness, given a physical examination and allowed to remember the whole episode in full detail. Social scientists at the university of Lodz found his story credible. (Randles 1988)

After the horrors of Brazil and the bug-eyed little monsters of the USA, one is glad to find that here in England we seem to encounter a much better class of UFO entity, taller and more considerate, willing to spare abductees the painful consequences of physical contact by arranging subjective encounters on ethereal planes of alternate reality. Communicative, often to the point of didacticism, their aim appears to be mainly one of indoctrination, especially in the case of the Aveyley abduction which, although it took place on October 27 1974, was not investigated until three years later. A full account of this important case as reported by the original investigators has been published only comparatively recently (UFOIN 1990) and I readily acknowledge my debt to this very detailed report. Evidentially, it is especially significant in that the two adults

abducted together were each able to confirm the other's story, in this first British abduction to unlock through hypnosis the hidden stores of memory.

John and Sue Day were Londoners, east enders from Stratford, living in a semi-detached house at Aveyley, Essex. John, aged thirty-two, had served an apprenticeship as a joiner and carpenter working in the building industry, while his wife Sue had worked as an accounts clerk until her marriage at the age of seventeen, eleven years previously. Their three children and their Vauxhall estate car were also abducted, but played no significant part in the affair.

The Days were returning from a visit to relatives and expected to be home by 22.20 to see a play on television. They left Harold Hill at 21.50 and, since the journey was one of only twenty minutes, expected to arrive home in time for the play. As they drove along they noticed that an oval, bluish light like a big star seemed to be following them and decided it must be a UFO. John expressed surprise that on what was normally on a Sunday night a busy road, they encountered no traffic at all in either direction. After a while, they became aware that the only sound in the car was coming from the radio – neither the usual sounds from the engine nor from the tyres on the road were to be heard. Suddenly they ran into a thick bank of fog, dense, green and about eight feet high. The radio began to crackle and emit smoke, so John pulled out its power lead. Then all the lights went out but still the car careered onwards. The fog seemed to provide a sort of light, but they felt very cold and experienced tingling sensations; everything around was eerily silent. Fortunately the children on the back seat were still asleep.

Suddenly there was a jolt as though the car was passing over a hump-backed bridge, the fog had gone and the car was running normally. When they reached home they switched on the television, only to find that the time was 1 a.m. and three hours were missing from their lives. They were very tired next day, but seemed to be suffering no other after-effects and the children went to school as usual. But within a few weeks John suffered a nervous breakdown, which with hindsight can perhaps be attributed to information overload during his abduction. He was unfit for work for almost a year, but eventually found employment helping mentally handicapped people. Gradually the attitudes and life-style of

the family underwent a change, presumably as a result of what had been an apocalyptic if mainly subliminal experience. They became vegetarians and non-smokers, drank alcohol rarely and became very anxious about conserving the environment. They also abandoned orthodox medicine and adopted a much more permissive attitude towards their children. At the same time they encountered at home a number of poltergeist type phenomena and were haunted late at night by a humming noise. John and Sue also began to have dreams involving what is now recognised as a standard abduction syndrome and realised through mutual discussion that they were sharing the same dream. Over the past dozen years John had experienced four UFO sightings prior to their abduction, but it should be emphasised that none of his previous UFO sightings or information related to abductions. Then a chance reading of an article in a 1977 newspaper made him decide to report his experiences to a ufologist group.

The principal investigation was carried out and written up by Andy Collins of UFOIN, though a number of other people were also involved. He arranged for John two hypnosis sessions with Dr. Leonard Wilder, a dental surgeon with twenty years' experience of the technique. Memories which had presented themselves as dreams now emerged in much greater depth during the hypnoses, which seemed to have triggered a more comprehensive process of conscious recall. During this both John and Sue claimed to have been exposed by their abductors to a form of teaching apparatus, an incident which Sue described as "having the contents of an encyclopaedia pumped into your head all in one go." John said he had complained that the information was being fed out too quickly, but had been told: "Don't worry. It is all being remembered by your mind." This was apparently so, for he eventually produced for the investigators a remarkably detailed and coherent information structure. Sue admitted that she had been unable to absorb most of the material offered to her in this way, though she readily confirmed particulars of the events said to have happened inside the UFO.

One of the most interesting of these is the perception they both had of their physical bodies lying slumped in the car, which they observed below them from a balcony in the hangar into which the vehicle and its occupants were said

to have been hauled up a shaft of white light. This use of a tractor beam is not uncommon, while the separation of consciousness from the physical body suggests that their whole recall of the abduction had the character of an out of the body experience (OBE), also commonly reported in a variety of contexts to parapsychologists, and took place therefore in an alternative reality. OBEs involve the transfer of consciousness from its normal location in the physical body into another, identical seeming, body of more tenuous material, compatible with the level of reality to which it belongs. It is sometimes said that most dreaming takes place in such a rarefied body, in which travel to other locations is also possible.

The complexity and range of the account given by John and Sue of their contacts with the UFO occupants is probably unique in its depth and precision, suggesting also sometimes implications of which the protagonists themselves were often unaware. Much of it resembles at a number of points the similarly apocalyptic encounter described seven years previously by Sergeant Schirmer, who might well have told more than he did had not the discourteous and insensitive treatment he received from the Condon investigators inhibited him. The abductors of John and Susan Day were willing, even anxious, to communicate a lot of information about themselves, their origins and their intentions. We shall examine carefully and consider critically what these informants were told by the ufonauts, while reminding ourselves that the honesty of our witnesses is not in itself a sufficient guarantee of the truth of their testimony.

John was told that the ufonauts communicated among themselves entirely by telepathy, expressing ideas and emotions directly without the need for intervention by any linguistic system, but that when they addressed humans they did so by scanning their mental operations and selecting from them the lexis and syntax necessary to produce well formed and intelligible statements. In the same way, they were able to adjust the image of themselves as perceived by humans so as to appear to them in any way that suited their purposes. Even the UFO itself could become invisible by the projection of a powerful electrical field which would distort the light rays around it. This technique could also be used to present bewildering holographic images of any

desired form of visual illusion, which would certainly account for the frequent reports of multiple fission and merging by UFOs. At the individual level, however, it calls into question inferences we may have made from descriptions of UFO entities if these are merely situationally appropriate presentations. It might also suggest an explanation for the quite different descriptions given of what were presumably the same entities by three women abducted simultaneously by the same UFO in Shropshire on 16 July 1981. Viv Hayward saw ugly little bug-eyed monsters while Valerie Walters encountered beings six feet tall with shoulder length hair and blue eyes, while Rosemary Hawkins spent her time with faceless metallic robots. (Randles 1988)

These mind-reading powers of the ufonauts could also account for the origin of the extra-terrestrial hypothesis (ETH), since the first contactees expected aliens to have come from outer space and the ufonauts, becoming aware of this expectation, produced it as an acceptable story which they may since have felt obliged to maintain. John's ufonauts were six and a half feet tall and accompanied by odd looking creatures four feet tall, which assisted with the medical examinations and were said to be devoid of telepathic powers. (It seems to be the case that when tall beings are encountered, either alone or accompanied by smaller subordinate entities, they are usually presented as benevolent and communicative, whereas small beings alone tend to appear as taciturn, callous and suspiciously android.) The UFO craft was described as having three distinct levels, the top one being an oval control room forty feet in length, reached by a vertical ascent in an antigravity tube such as that described by Herbert Schirmer. There were four seated ufonauts around a crescent shaped unit with panels over which they were continually passing their hands, for the operation of the craft was said to be controlled by an organic computer responsive to telepathic commands. The possession by a culture of organic electronics would obviously increase the likelihood that such technology would also be applied to the creation of androids. The other levels of the craft contained rest rooms and sleeping quarters, at least five on each level, except for the lowest one, which was the huge hangar into which the Days' car had been teleported. There seems to

have been so much space inside what seems to have been at least an inter-planetary or interdimensional machine that it may well have been some kind of topological singularity, insofar as it would seem to have been larger inside than out, which could only be possible if in some way it spanned dimensions so that only a part of it was actually materialised at a time in our terrestrial space-time reality.

The small subordinate entities were referred to as being, like John himself, "of a different period of time", which he interpreted as meaning that they came from a different planet to the taller beings. John's tacit acceptance of the ETH here caused him to translate a specifically temporal reference into a spatial one because that fitted in with his preconceptions. It is therefore not surprising that he could make nothing of his abductor's reference to humans as "static units" who were "linear inhabitants" of the planet Earth. By "linear", however, the ufonaut would seem to be referring to the human perception of progress through time, namely in a straight line from birth to death. Beings whose locus through space-time is confined to this linear mode would necessarily be seen as "static" compared with others who were able so to manipulate their space-time co-ordinates as to be able to move freely between alternative dimensions.

His captors told John that for thousands of years they had been known as "The Watchers" and had planted inhabitants on many planets, controlling their evolution by selective breeding and direct genetic intervention to develop intelligent awareness. They claimed, in fact, to be the ancient astronauts of the Winged Serpent we heard about in Ashland, Nebraska, to be virtually immortal and not to reproduce their kind. "We do not have children," Sue Day was told. "We reproduce through you; you are our children."

On April 2 1980 another woman, Aino Ivanoff, was driving along a road in Pudasjarvi, Finland, when her car was suddenly surrounded by mist. The next thing she knew was that she found herself on a table, undergoing a medical examination by small entities who remarked that they were unable to beget children of their own. (Randles 1988) Since then, of course, Budd Hopkins and others have reported many cases of abductions in which it appears that genetic material was taken from abductees allegedly in furtherance of some inter-species breeding project,

usually by entities perceived as of the small, bug-eyed variety made famous by Whitley Strieber, and who always seem quite ruthless and devoid of emotions.

The beings who abducted John and Sue, however, although conducting physical examinations of an apparently diagnostic nature, seem not to have made any such surgical interventions. Their intention was apparently to implant in their hostages information which would alter their psychic configuration so as to develop attitudes they deemed desirable for the whole of mankind, in which indeed they seem to have been successful. From his indoctrination by the teaching machine John consciously recalled being shown star maps, our own solar system and patterns of energy lines covering planets with power sources the UFOs could tap but which humanity no longer knew how to use. He was particularly impressed by a holographic view of the ruined planet on which, in the far distant past, the Watchers claimed to have originated. It showed a city of curious conical structures against a background of hills and mountain ranges, with a hooded figure in the foreground holding a red and yellow sphere. He was asked to come forward and touch the sphere. He did so and experienced a strange sensation, feeling also that this was a special privilege he had been granted. Sue had the same experience, also touching what she felt to be a sacred object located in a kind of shrine. She recalled being told at that time: "This is the seed of life, our past and your future, our whole existence. Accept this from us for yourself, your children and your fellow kind." Was there also, one wonders, some similarly apocalyptic and solemn moment at the heart of Sergeant Schirmer's abduction that caused his experience to stand out in retrospect as the most significant event in his life?

John felt that the hologram constituted in some sense a holy thing, so that both he and Sue received at this point

an apprehension of the numinous which affected them profoundly. Yet the religions of the world were dismissed by the Watchers as fables they had been obliged to invent to prevent humanity from sinking into an apathy which they claimed had overtaken mankind when it was realised that their destiny and their whole way of life was controlled by the gods, whose hidden agenda had become manifest to men. When we come to consider the accounts of the ancient astronauts in the Sumerian chronicles, the oldest written records available to-day, we shall have to consider whether these Watchers can be equated with the Annunaki or Nefilim, their astronaut gods, and their ruined planet with Nibiru, said to be the last undiscovered member of our own solar system because of the highly eccentric nature of its orbit.

John was told that it was after the heroic age in Greece that the gods finally withdrew from Earth, and that the Greek legends of gods and heroes actually embodied an imperfect description of the relationship between mankind and the Watchers at that period, when eventually the perception that Man had no true autonomy led his spiritual evolution to collapse in a wave of fatalistic hedonism. The overt hegemony of the astronaut gods had therefore to be replaced by monotheism and the concept of free will, to which end the major world religions were fabricated by the Watchers and propagated by avatars under their control. Beyond this point the transmission seems to have become somewhat garbled, or perhaps John's concentration was flagging, for it is saying that although evil must be fought it is really a good thing because the fact that it is permitted proves that man has free will, though in fact he does not have this. When such propositions are fed into a computer it is liable to lock up in a recursive loop, which is perhaps the equivalent of John's nervous breakdown. He still managed, however, to recall the details

he was given of the Watchers' current strategy.

This would be in essence the changing of human social ethics by altering the attitudes of individuals so that mankind eventually embraces non-violence, wild life preservation, environmental conservation and a healthy life-style. With the Day family they were certainly successful, and whether the contemporary awareness of what we now call 'green' issues is due to the activities of the Watchers or even the dawning of the age of Aquarius, we cannot say. Europeans at least have certainly become increasingly interested in such matters. The Watchers, however, apparently do not yet wish to be revealed to Mankind and seek to avoid any direct influence such as contacts with governments would give them, so they try to confuse and render risible attempts to expose their control over humanity. Disinformation leading to confusion and ridicule is said to be their principal technique, so that we had better consider carefully how much of John's reminiscences we can accept. He was told that Jesus was given by the Watchers paranormal powers and insights with which to change Mankind, but the attempt failed because the message became corrupted. They advocate peace, toleration and non-violence, John said, but if their message is not heeded they are prepared to demonstrate their devotion to these ideals by destroying every living thing on the planet by means of natural disasters. They say they have done this before, possibly referring to the flood survived by Noah, or as the Sumerians knew him, Ziusudra. They do not want to do this because it would constitute a retrograde step in their own spiritual evolution.

Whether these or perhaps other Watchers have maintained their alleged policy of refraining from contact with terrestrial governments remains an open question, but one we shall attempt to examine in due course.

WANTED . . .

Names and addresses of active UFO groups and/or UFO publications

I am in the process of trying to compile a comprehensive directory of all UFO groups from around the world. No group is too small or too large. I would also like to include UFO publications, from news-stand magazines to the more simpler form of newsletters. If you are involved in any such activities please send us as much detail as you can to:-

Philip Mantle, 1, Woodhall Drive, Batley, W. Yorks. WF17-7SW.

THE FINAL SECRET OF FREE ENERGY – Part II

by T.E. Beardon

Some definitions

The Quantum Mechanical Vacuum: First we need some definitions. We start by assuming the *quantum mechanical vacuum*.¹ Empty 'spacetime' is filled with an incredibly intense flux of virtual particles. It is a plenum, not an emptiness. We shall be interested only in the fantastic flux of virtual photons, for we are discussing electromagnetics.

Energy and Potential: Energy is any ordering, either static or dynamic, in the virtual particle flux of vacuum. EM Energy is any ordering, either static or dynamic, in the virtual photon flux (VPF) of vacuum. That is, for a particular kind of 'field' energy, we simply choose the so-called quantum particle of that field, and consider only that kind of virtual particle flux.

Potential is any ordering, either static or dynamic, in the virtual particle flux of vacuum. Hey! That's exactly the same definition as energy. Quite correct. Energy and potential are identically the same. Neither is presently defined correctly in physics.

Energy is normally defined as "Energy is the capacity to do work." That's totally false. Energy has the capacity to do work, because work is correctly defined as the dissipation (disordering, scattering) of energy (order). The scattering of energy is work. It is not energy! i.e. *energy is not definable as its own scattering!*

Look at it this way: a man *has* the capacity to catch fish. That is true, but it is not a definition, since a definition must in some sense be an *identity*. You cannot say that a man is the capacity to catch fish! That may be a submitted definition, all right, but it is false. Similarly, energy has the capacity to do work; that is one of its attributes. But energy **IS** the ordering in the VPF (we are referring from now on primarily only to EM).


Scalar and Vector Potentials; The *scalar potential* is any static (with respect to the external observer) ordering in the VPF of vacuum. The *vector potential* is any dynamic (with respect

to the external observer) ordering in the VPF of vacuum. We shall be interested in the electrostatic scalar potential. So it is a static ordering – a stationary *template* – in the VPF of vacuum, much as a whirlpool is a stationary ordering (template, form) in the rushing flow of a river.

The Scalar Potential Has An Internal Structure

The Structure of the Scalar Potential: According to rigorous proofs by Whittaker² and Ziolkowski,³ any scalar potential can be mathematically decomposed into a *harmonic series of bidirectional wave pairs*. Fig. 1 shows this Whittaker/Ziolkowski (WZ) structure. In each pair, the forward-time wave is going in one direction, and its phase conjugate (time-reversed) replica wave is going in the other. According to the so-called *distortion correction theorem*⁴ of non-linear phase conjugate optics, this PCR wave must precisely superpose spatially with its partner wave in the pair. The two wave are in-phase spatially, but 180° out of phase in time. The wave is made of *photons*, and the antiwave (PCR wave) is made of *antiphotons*. It follows that, as wave and antiwave pass through each other, the photons and antiphotons are coupling and uncoupling with each other, because the antiphoton is a PCR photon, and PCRs precisely superpose spatially with their partner. A photon or antiphoton has wave characteristics, because it has a frequency; if the wave aspects are perfectly ordered and perfectly correlated, then so are the photon's particle aspect.

A Potential Is An Ordering Across the Universe; So we have – astoundingly – perfect VPF inner ordering infolded in the electrostatic scalar potential! We also have perfect wave/antiwave ordering infolded in there. When you collect a simple set of charges on a small ball or in a region, the scalar EM potential from that set of charges reaches across the universe. In it you have an infinite harmonic series



Internal wave structure of the scalar potential

of phase-locked time-forward EM waves going out from the charges to all distant points of the entire universe. And you have an infinite harmonic series of phase-locked time-reversed EM waves coming from all points of the universe, back to the "collected charges" source.

A Potential Is A River of Energy: The point is, you have established a *mighty, hidden, 2-way river of energy* between that collection of charges and every other point in the universe. There is infinite energy in each of those infolded waves and antiwaves. But in a localised region, the *energy density* in each wave is finite. Since infinite circuits the potential interacts with a localised set of mass, we shall be concerned with the *local energy density (Joules/Coulomb) of the potential*.

But forget the conventional myth of visualising the potential as pushing a unit charge in from infinity "against the force field" – there *isn't* any force field in the vacuum, as is well-known in quantum mechanics. Also, Newton's Third law requires all forces to occur in pairs – each pair consisting of a force and its 3rd law reaction force. From that viewpoint alone, there is no such thing as an EM forcefield or forcefield wave in a vacuum. There are just *gradients of the vacuum potential* present in the vacuum. In the vacuum, an EM wave is actually a wave of the phase locked gradients of the electrostatic scalar potential and of the magnetostatic scalar potential. And each such gradient wave is simultaneously accompanied by its phase conjugate

gradient wave, because of Newton's third law.

Newton's third law requires forces to occur in pairs of equal but antiparallel forces.

Both wave and antiwave co-exist simultaneously in the vacuum EM wave.⁵ Therefore it's a stress potential wave, not a force field wave. It's more like an electromagnetic sound wave,⁶ and so it is a longitudinal wave, not a transverse wave. In the EM vacuum wave's interaction with matter (the so-called "photon" interaction), the wave-half normally interacts with the electron shells of the atom, giving translation forces, while the anti-wave half interacts with the atomic nucleus, giving the Newtonian 3rd law reaction (recoil) forces (waves). The EM wave in vacuum is an *electrogravitational wave*.

To be continued – Ed.

IMPORTANT DISCLAIMER

In UT 26, it was stated that Mary Seal submitted this paper on Beardon's behalf. Mary wishes to clarify that the 'Final Secret of Free Energy' article was not submitted for publication on behalf of T. Beardon (the author) with whom she has no affiliation whatsoever. The purpose for the request of publication was to try to bring it to the attention of scientifically qualified readers who may be able to analyze its contents.

The editor of UFO Times wishes to apologise to Mary for this misunderstanding.

NOTES AND REFERENCES

1. For a good discussion of the quantum mechanical view of the vacuum, see L.J.R. Aitchison, 'Nothing's plenty: the vacuum in modern field theory,' *Contemporary Physics*, 26(4), 1985, pp333/91. See also T.D. Lee, 'Particle Physics and Introduction to Field Theory', Harwood Academic Publishers, New York, 1981 – particularly chapter 16, 'Vacuum as the source of asymmetry.' See Timothy Boyer, 'The Classical Vacuum', *Scientific American*, Aug, 1985, p 70; Walter Greiner & Joseph Hamilton, 'Is the Vacuum Really Empty?' *American Scientist*, Mar/Apr 1980, p 154; Jack S. Greenberg & Walter Greiner, 'Search for the Sparking of the Vacuum', *Physics Today*, Aug 1982, pp 24/32; Richard E. Prange & Peter Stance, 'The Superconducting Vacuum', *American Journal of Physics*, 52(1), Jan 1984, pp 19/21; Jackiw & J.R. Schrieffer, 'The Decay of the Vacuum', *Nuclear Physics B*, Vol 190, 1981, p 944. See Paul Davies, 'Superforce', Simon & Schuster, 1984 for a layman's overview of modern physics, including the modern view of the vacuum.

2. E.T. Whittaker, 'On the partial differential equations of mathematical physics', *Mathematical Annalen*, Vol 57, 1903, pp 333/55. Since the scalar potential actually consists totally of a set of hidden bidirectional EM waves, then scalar interferometry is possible, and not just an oxymoron as it would seem without considering the inner wave structure of the scalar potential. Two scalar potentials (each of which is a multi-wave set) can interfere; it is just a special kind of multiple wave interferometry between their internal wave compositions. This is a major point of profound impact on physics. Whittaker in fact showed that all classical EM could be replaced by such scalar EM potential interferometry. See E.T. Whittaker, 'On an expression of the electromagnetic field due to electrons by means of two scalar potential functions', *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, Series 2, Vol 1, 1904, pp 367/72. Further scalar interferometry has been proven; today it is called the 'Aharonov-Bohm Effect'. See Y. Aharonov & D. Bohm, 'Significance of Electromagnetic Potentials in the Quantum Theory', *Physical Review*, 2nd. series 115(3), Aug 1, 1959 pp 458/91. For confirmation and discussion, see Bertram Schwarzschild, 'Currents in normal-metal rings exhibit Aharonov-Bohm Effect', *Physics Today*, 39(1), Jan 1986, pp 17/20. For an extensive discussion of the Aharonov-Bohm Effect and an extensive list of references, see S. Olariu and I. Lovitzu Popescu, 'The quantum effects of electromagnetic fluxes', *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 57(2), Apr 1985. Modern scientists have generally been unaware of the inner wave structure of the interfering potentials and have utilised only quantum mechanical theory for the interference. Consequently, they have been able to experimentally establish the A-B effect for only a few thousand Angstroms distance. With the Whittaker formulation, the A-B effect becomes distant-independent, because the necessary potentials can be fabricated as laser-like beams, simply by assembling the proper Whittaker multibeam set. Also, Ignatovich pointed out that the Schroedinger potential can also be decomposed into just such an internal bidirectional EM wave set. See V.K. Ignatovich, 'The remarkable capabilities of recursive relations', *American Journal of Physics*, 57(10), Oct. 1989, pp 873/78.

3. See Richard W. Ziolkowski, 'Exact Solutions of the Wave Equation with Complex Source Locations', *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, Vol 26, 1985, p 861; 'Localised Transmission of Wave Energy', *proc. SPIE*, Vol 1061, Microwave & Particle Beam Sources & Directed Energy Concepts, 1989, pp 396/97; 'Localised Transmission of Electromagnetic Energy', *Physical Review* Aug. Vol 39, p 2005; 'Localised wave transmission physics & engineering', *Physical Review*, Aug. 1992 (in press); 'Localised wave transmission physics & engineering', *proc. SPIE Conference on Intense Microwave & Particle Beams II*, Los Angeles, Ca.

Vol 1407, Jan 1991, pp 375/86. See Richard W. Ziolkowski, Amr M. Shaarawi & Ioannis M. Besieris, *Nuclear Physics B* (proc. suppl.) Vol 6, 1989, pp 255/58; R.W. Ziolkowski & D.K. Lewis, D.K., 'Verification of the Localised Wave Transmission Effect', *Journal of Applied Physics*, Vol 68, 1990, p 6083; Richard W. Ziolkowski, Ioannis M. Besieris & Amr Shaarawi, 'Localised Wave Representations of Acoustics & Electromagnetic Radiation', *Proc. of the IEEE*, 79(10), Oct. 1991, pp 1371/78; I.M. Besieris, A.M. Shaarawi & R.W. Ziolkowski, 'A bidirectional travelling plane wave representation of exact solutions of the scalar wave equation', *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 30(6), 1989, p 806; A.M. Shaarawi, I.M. Besieris & R.W. Ziolkowski, 'A novel approach to the synthesis of nondispersive wave packet solutions to the Klein-Gordon & the Dirac equations', *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 31(10) 1990, p 2511; 'A nondispersive wave packet representation of photons & the wave-particle duality of light', UCRL-101694, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, Ca. 1989, 'Diffraction of a classical wave packet in a 2-slit interference experiment', UCRL-100756, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, Ca. 1989; 'Localised energy pulse trains launched from an open, semi-infinite, circular wave guide', *Journal of Applied Physics*, 65(2), 1989, p 147; R.W. Ziolkowski & D.K. Lewis, 'Verification of the localised wave transmission effect', *Journal of Applied Physics*, 68(12), 1990, p 6083; M.K. Tippet & R.W. Ziolkowski, 'A bidirectional wave transformation of the cold plasma equations', *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 32(2), 1991, p 488; A.M. Vengsarkar, I.M. Besieris, A.M. Shaarawi & R.W. Ziolkowski, 'Localised energy pulses in optical fibre waveguides: closed-form approximate equations', *Journal of the Optical Soc. of America A*, 1991.

4. For a precise statement of the distortion correction theorem, see Amnon Yariv, *Optical Electronics*, 3rd. edn., Holt, Rinehart & Winston, New York, 1985, pp 500/1.

5. Both wave & antiwave co-exist in the vacuum simultaneously, forming a stress wave. The entity that is stressed is the rate of flow of time. In the common interaction with matter, the timeforward half of the stress wave normally interacts with the electron shells of the atom, giving electron translation forces. The time-reversed or antiwave half interacts with the nucleus, giving the Newtonian 3rd. Law reaction (recoil) forces. The so-called 'EM wave' in vacuum is a gravitational wave. It is a wave of oscillation of the rate of flow of time. It is rather like a sound wave in air, as Tesla pointed out, and it is a longitudinal wave, not a transverse 'string' wave.

6. As pointed out by Nicola Tesla. Tesla was correct, and all the textbooks with their transverse 'string' waves are in error: there are no strings in the vacuum!

THE EUROPEAN DIMENSION

Courtesy of Eurufon News
No3, April 1991

CLOSE ENCOUNTERS IN GERMANY

This article has been sent to us by our collaborator, the physicist Illobrant Von Ludwig. Although, the facts date back about a dozen years, they are none-the-less interesting because they tell us that these such C/E objects described at the actual time had already made their appearance in the German skies at the beginning of the 80s.

At Weissenstadt on 22nd February 1982 [the locality is situated at the centre of the RFA at about 20 Km from the Czech frontier].

At Weissenstadt in February towards six o'clock in the morning there were few people in the streets. M. Zivic, who is obliged to work in the early morning, was passing in front of the church when he threw a glance at the steeple clock; it was 06.03. It was then he perceived in the sky what he thought was a plane, but on reflection he told himself that it could not have been a question of that. The object was elongated and triangular in shape, endowed with two "tubes" situated at the rear part on the facing underbelly; from these tubes there emitted a trail of a very brilliant, white colour.

The machine measured 10 to 15 metres long and flew very slowly at about 50 Km/h. To the astonishment of the witness, it proceeded without making any noise at about 100 metres altitude. At the point in front of the machine shone a brilliant, green light, and at each side a yellow light was visible.

[NDLR: it is necessary to specify that on the same day, at about 20 Km from Weissenstadt, at Kirchenlamitz, the same witness was present at the landing of a classically-shaped UFO at 05.40; i.e. a saucer with a dome. In the following edition of EURUFON NEWS we shall review this affair].

We found this form of object manifesting itself again in an observation dating from the 4th December 1979 near West Wellington, Connecticut, where at almost 19.00, two witnesses observed from their car an identical object for almost five minutes.

The object had the apparent size of six times that of the moon, triangular, measuring about 50 in length and followed by a blue-white trail; one was able to make out two cylindrical tubes on the facing underbelly.

At the forward point were located two white lights, and on the flanks a white light was equally placed on each side. At the rear there was visible a green light as well as a red one, and from the tubes there emanated a red trail [IUR 1980].

According to all the evidence, M. Zivic observed a similar machine to that seen at West Wellington [but equally similar to that in Bastogne presented in this edition - NDLR].

If these cylindrical tubes were exhaust ports, the constructors of such a machine did not utilise a base chemical carburettor, because in order to fly a metallic object of this type by the principle of reaction, the witnesses would have been able to detect a characteristic noise. This triangular object also resembled that which the couple Dammann saw on 19th November 1982, at 17.00 in Bedburdyck. Mme Gerda Dammann described the object as being silent, measuring 8 to 10 metres long and hovering at about 100 metres in altitude over a meadow. "It was all lit up, and the light pulsed as if it was illuminated by thousands of spark-plugs at the same time" [Erfurt-Kurier, 20th March 1983].

The object had a metallic-silver aspect and was rotating by itself. After a quarter of an hour had elapsed, and to the right of the machine, a circular beam of brilliant light sprung forth from the object and was directed towards us. Next, two other lights appeared, thus making three lights altogether at the front.

The couple took fright at this development and fled. Turning back, the witnesses ascertained that the object was following them slowly; the facing underbelly was as black as ink.

Later, after an interview on the radio, other people from Neuss, Dusseldorf and Grevenbroich revealed that they too had seen this object. Dr. Illobrant Von Ludwig.

SNAPSHOTS OF PARANORMAL/UFO LIFE IN SOVIET RUSSIA

(Data supplied by secret agent:
Philip Mantle)

PHOTO 1: Ural Mountains, summer 1992.

Strange substance at the place where UFO landed. Inhabitants of this region of the Urals say that they saw 'Bigfoot' (large hairy humanoid).



Photo 1

PHOTO 2: Rostov-on-Don, September 1992.

Mr. A. Priyma and I Korotkaya [the woman] carry out exorcism on a man [centre] in whom lived an "evil spirit". One can see strange lights, above right, over the woman during the session.




Photo 2

PHOTO 3: Rostov-on-Don, 1992.

This is a print from a large negative on a 1:1 scale. In his new book, A. Priyma wrote: "Such discs seen in the sky are not UFOs but merely the windows of other worlds through which UFOs fly into ours; we have much evidence for this."




Photo 3

PHOTO 4: A. Priyma and his "extra-terrestrial controller" (a term couched from his book 'The Extraterrestrials Go!') Here you see the author in Athens on 16th September 1992 after speaking with editors of a magazine called, 'The Unknown', published in Athens. Suddenly, from the sky, came a tiny UFO which hovered over Priyma.




Photo 4

All photos kindly supplied by A. Priyma of the 'Moscow Centre for Investigations of Contact Situations'

CASE REPORT

THE QUANTOCK HORROR (PART III)

GIVE ME HOPE, PLEASE!



Mr Tony Burford

KP: Have you ever had any premonitions or anything like that?

SB: Yes, I have, on the TV, haven't we? [to TB]... we knew about the ozone before they broadcast it... also I've seen a volcanic... there's a volcano under Tokio Bay... and I thought it was reported on the news, you see, so I said to Alice, "Did you see that on the news at dinner-time?" She said, "What, about that volcano underneath Tokio Bay?" I said, "You actually could see it... the cameraman was there and it was all, sort of, like an earthquake, and if it erupts, the whole of Tokio will be, you know, flooded... I'm still waiting for that prediction to come true... we've seen also about... he saw Chernobyl... about Chernobyl, that one.

KP: You had a premonition about Chernobyl?

SB: Yes.

TB: Did I? I can't remember a lot of it now.

SB: Yes, you did, and you also saw the San Francisco earthquake... that was on the news...

JJ: Do you see these premonitions on TV as if they're news reports?

SB: Yes, it's actually the newsmen reading it.

TB: I used to see that, but I don't see that now...

SB: I don't watch what's on the dinner-time news anymore just in case I see something I don't want to see... and I've also predicted about that giant meteorite that's supposed to be on its way back...

KP: Tony, what about your parents, have they ever had any UFO or psychic experiences?

TB: My dad doesn't believe in it...

SB: He told his mum and she said, "Oh, you've got a brain tumour or you've got cancer of the brain," you know, stuff like that... silly cow.

TB: that's just the religious side... that's just religion, that is, but I don't believe in religion... I did believe in religion before all this, but now I don't believe it... why should I believe... they say no such things should happen like this, but it does and it has...

JJ: I was just wondering, I mean, I thought, you know, some people with problems which can't be resolved they often turn to religion in some way and I just wondered if you had done so.

SB: No.

TB: I was going to go to the spiritualists.

KP: A Spiritualist Church?

TB: Yes, I was going to go to there... but I didn't bother in the end... I thought they would probably think I am nuts, so I didn't bother...

SB: Your mother does.

TB: I used to go along the Quantocks and come back and used to feel a bit better, you know, not too bad... every time I got up there now I get worse than what I was, because everything around me affects me now... I haven't been out for ages... if I go out I'll be six times worse... I come out with something burnt on me... the car lights.

SB: First of all, his neck was burnt with the car lights...

TB: Sometimes it feels like it's driving me insane, but it's not, it's just pressing me in pain.

SB: The only time when you weren't in pain is when the electric run out... that was the other night... we had no electricity the other night and you had no pain at all...

TB: It's like it's spiking me... all these spikes going like this... that's what it feels like... spikes in my head... that's what I'm feeling now... that's when I start feeling metal sickness... at the back of my throat... I'm really squeezing... I've had it ever so bad... this weekend has been worse than last weekend... I'm getting cold in bed, aren't I? [to SB] I shiver in bed... and the suffocation is terrible... I call it not breathing because I don't breath fresh air like I used to.

SB: You can still breath but it's suffocation.

TB: My nose is blocked off with skin [in the sinus region] and it shouldn't be like that.

JJ: You feel as though you have a constricting feeling here in the nose?

TB: Yes, the passage to my head squeezes and squeezes... if rain touches me, that's it... what happens if it rains? [to SB]

SB: Terrific pain.

TB: Pain, coldness... and I don't know what else because I haven't been in the rain for ages... I can't stand it touching me... as soon as it touches me I go, "Ahhh!"

SB: He can't stand it to have a bath.

KP: So the situation is that your doctors have virtually given up?

SB: Yes.

KP: And there was supposed to have been a psychiatric nurse and he/she was supposed to have arrived last week?

SB: Yes, last week, but she hasn't bothered... she doesn't want to know.

TB: She was supposed to have come last week or early this week, but she never bothered... you see, as far as the doctors are concerned it's a case of they don't want to know and shut the books...

SB: When he's in severe pain, I call her [doctor] out...

TB: And I call out like this, "Ahh, ahh,"...

SB: And she says; "Oh, there's nothing wrong with you."

TB: And I say, "I'm in pain, aren't I?"... and she says, "That's what you think," and I said, "But I'm bloody-well in pain,"... and she says, "Well, you have got something slightly wrong, you know, in your head," and I looked at her and said, "Look, I've got this pain in my head and I've told you what it is [C/E UFO]," and she said, "I don't want to know about that,"... I said, "Get out, I don't want to know you, go on, get out."

JJ: You were a perfectly normal person up until your contact, when these thing started happening?

SB: Yes, perfectly normal before 1990... before this bat-like one [as depicted on the front cover of UT22 – The Quantock Horror].

TB: Well, [that happened] in '88... maybe it was coming on slowly but I never noticed... but 1990 is when it [symptoms] started happening.

JJ: 1988 was when you saw the thing up on the Quantocks?

TB: Yes, near the Quantocks.

JJ: And the symptoms started in 1990?

TB: Yes, that was one year and 8/9 months later, wasn't it? [to SB]

SB: Yes, because Dot has know him since I was 21, and he was 23, and he changed... he's not the same person I used to know... then you could have a laugh and go to the pub and that... but we can't do that any more.

TB: It's like I got a crowbar on my head, first of all... the crowbar...

JJ: You haven't had any other experiences between '88 and '90 when you first started feeling ill?

TB: Not close like that.

SB: Only the display, but it didn't affect me and it didn't affect you though because we were watching them through the bedroom window.

TB: Yes, they were quite a distance away.

SB: Shane [youngest son] has see curious things when he was little... when he was 2... he used to speak about the "ones with the almond eyes,"...

JJ: And he actually told you that when he was 2?

SB: Yes.

KP: How many children do you have altogether?

SB: three.

KP: All boys?

SB: Yes... Simon... he's in prison at present... he's 18... there's Daniel, 16, and Shane who's 9... Daniel's seen spaceships, and he's 16... but all three of them have seen spaceships... and it's weird... you know, for the whole family to seen them, sort of thing... the dogs aren't bothered... though, usually, when you've read all the books... I've read on the UFO books from the libraries... when they've landed or gone over, and there have been dogs barking...

TB: We started checking up after we started seeing things...

SB: Yes, it was after when we started checking up... and we'd been reading about dogs barking when they'd go over, but they [Burfield's dogs] hadn't even bothered... that's strange, that is... the strangest thing is what I've got in my [fish] tank, and that came from the sky. [SB then retrieves a green-coloured rock from her fishtank. The rock, weighing about 150g has a vitrified appearance and is irregular in shape. The rock was later taken to the British Museum for analysis but turned out to be a commercially available substance for the decoration of graves and fishtanks etc.].

KP: Did you actually see this [rock] fall from the sky, or?

SB: No, a spaceship went over and that [rock] was in the [back]garden afterwards... I don't know what it is, so I put it in the tank... it's been in my fishtank for ages.

TB: We had loads of them.

SB: Oh, but Daniel found lots of them underneath the ground...

TB: I'm in a bit of pain now...

JJ: In your head?

TB: At the top... and at the side as well... it's pressing me... that's how it starts, pressing... where that bump is on top [head], it presses down... when I am in pain, it makes it hard for me to speak... but what I've been told what I've got is called "belated" [sic]... don't ask me what that means, but that's what it is, "belated", but the thing about it is I've been too close to the ship when I was lying down at the time in [May] 1988... and as I was so close... I most probably had radiation on me... the radiation eventually changed to a disease called "belated"... there's no such word... how this would be treated I do not know myself, but it's going to be very hard to treat this, very hard... I have been told that 'they' [entities] are very sorry... but I don't know why 'they' can't do anything, do you?

KP & JJ: No.

TB: We've even asked 'them' for their help before we knew you [investigators]... but we got no response, have we [to SB]

SB: All they said was, "We cannot leave you in this condition," but I don't know what the space travellers mean by that. [It is worth noting here that 'mediumship' exists within the Burfield family – invs.]

TB: Yes... but who's 'they' ... but, so far, nothing's been done for me at all, so we've given up on that, haven't we [to SB].

SB: Yes, but I don't know really what is going on

TB: That's why we're turning to you [BUFORA]...

SB: What they could do, as they've got aliens in America, to ask America to ask the aliens for the treatment [of TB], sort of thing... they'd know what to do.

TB: I must be taken to this place [treatment centre(?)]... now, because Judith did say there was such a place and I know I should be taken there [JJ was referring to the TREAT Organisation in the USA] to be observed... to see what kind of pain I get... why do I get the

squeezing of the teeth... why food it makes it worse... I should be observed...

SB: What does he sound like when he's in pain [to son Daniel].

DB: Don't know really, you can't really explain it, can you?

SB: No, but he does scream, doesn't he? [to DB].

DB: Screaming isn't the word for it.

SB: How old are you?

DB: 16.

TB: If he was here this morning, I

reckon he would've walked out, there was so much panic, because I screamed out this morning so much... it must have been for half an hour without stopping... God, I thought it was the end... I thought this morning that was it, I was finished, that's what I thought... it's close... not death... I don't know what to call it.

SB: Like they said, "You can't die."

TB: Give me hope, please...

[TB then goes into severe pain and becomes very ill, whereupon the interview was suspended].

In the next issue:

TRANSMIGRATION OF THE GREYS

MEMO TO ALL INVESTIGATORS

As of 2nd December 1993, Jenny Randles ceases to be the Director of Investigations and is replaced by Philip Mantle, whose address can be found on the inside-front-cover. Therefore, would all investigators please send all reports to Philip forthwith, who, in turn, will deal with these in the usual way.

Philip will chair the next N.I.C. meeting in London on 5th March 1994 and looks forward to meeting those members of the investigations team who are able to attend. Meanwhile, if there are any teething problems which he settles into his new post, please contact him immediately and he will do all he can to rectify them.

CASE REPORT

THE UNSPEAKABLE

SIGHTING PRECIS:-

This incident, which the witness reported to the TV magazine programme, 'Daytime UK', [after having heard on that programme appeals for close-encounter UFO witnesses to phone in], occurred in the late summer of 1983. One evening, as she and her ex-boyfriend were driving in the vicinity of Sudbury in Suffolk, they had a close encounter with a low-flying, brightly-lit object which emitted a foul smell like rotten eggs. Having passed Sudbury, and having temporarily lost sight of the object whilst driving through that town, they again saw the object which was keeping pace with their car. Eventually, the car was rendered inoperative and the witnesses got out to see what was the matter with it. However, having found nothing amiss with the engine, they got back in the car whereupon it mysteriously re-started as mysteriously as it had ceased to function.

With the car's ignition and lights fully restored to working order, the witnesses continued their journey in a state of utter bewilderment. When they finally reached their destination in Corby, Northants., they found there was about 3 hours of unaccounted time: in fact, their hosts had to be roused from their beds because it was so late. All the witness remembers of the encounter is a bluish, misty object and a door which came down tight. The remainder of the memory is heavily suppressed and the witness seems to have been severely traumatised by the event.

The night that Ros came face to face with a creature from the unknown



The Unspeakable

ACCOUNT OF SIGHTING FROM THE 'EAST ANGLIAN DAILY TIMES', 8th. OCT. 1993 EDITION:-
"THE NIGHT THAT ROS CAME FACE TO FACE WITH A CREATURE FROM THE UNKNOWN"

Ros is a normal woman – certainly not the sort to indulge in fantasies about little men from Mars or flying saucers. But one evening she claims she was taken by force into a UFO and subjected to a terrifying examination by alien beings.

It was a difficult decision for Ros Reynolds-Parnham, 32, of Little Clacton, to speak publicly about her experiences. But she wants to reassure others who have undergone a similar ordeal and not leave them to go through the months of fear and doubt alone as she did.

Ros has now opened up to millions after appearing on the GMTV breakfast show and is eager for people to realise the seriousness behind her message.

Ten years ago Ros and her boyfriend of the time were travelling to see relatives. As they [Ros and friend] approached Sudbury Ros said she saw a horse-shoe of bright lights in the sky, which noiselessly lowered over the car. When they came to open countryside the lights returned two or three times and "locked" onto their vehicle; the car then went dead.

"We went out to discover what was wrong," she said. "As we had stopped, so had the big light. It was very bright but it did not hurt your eyes – it is very difficult to describe."

Ros, who discovered only years later that she went inside the UFO, could not remember anything else. "All I know is we got on with our journey and when we got there we were three hours later than we should have been."

She had always been sceptical about UFOs but this has started her thinking. She is now convinced that things she had previously seen and passed off as aeroplanes in the sky were probably alien craft. Three weeks later she had an urge to type and draw. In her sleep she drew maps of engine rooms and diagrams of how the Earth was formed – all things she knew nothing about.

Ros says she has scars and other physical signs of her ordeal. She cannot have children because since that autumn night she has never had a monthly cycle. "I got, through being worried; I could not talk to anybody about it because I thought they would

think I was a freak," she said. "I just bottled it up and I became a recluse for a while worrying about what had happened."

Eventually she managed to pull her life together again and then a few years ago saw an article in a local newspaper about an East Anglian UFO investigation team. They arranged a hypnosis session at her Plough Corner home [but] it was a failure. "The video recording was wiped out, everything went wrong," she explained. "A bright beam of light came into the room and all the clocks stopped."

Through a mutual friend, she then got in touch with Mark Reynolds-Parnham. Mark claims he was born psychic and he uses his abilities to investigate cases like Ros'. It was during the investigation the two fell in love and married.

Details of her experiences were pieced together in a second hypnosis session. Mark said his wife described four or five small aliens, around 3' 6" to 4' tall, who approached her and forced her to the craft.

Under hypnosis Mark realised Ros had been taken to an examination room and had become very agitated as the aliens undressed her and laid her on a table as they tried to study her.

What had happened, continued Mark, was a typical UFO abduction scenario, [the like of] which has taken place with many people on this planet for many, many years.

Ros admits she is still frightened by what happened and is obviously embarrassed by what she revealed, as she had to leave the room while her husband talked about it.

Most people have been quite open-minded and compassionate about their claims, the couple said, and so far no one has denounced them as crazy. "Some are very interested because they believe it and some are interested because they want to be convinced," said Mark. "But we have never had anyone come up to us and say we are lying."

Ed's comment: this case, which has so much in common with that of Linda Jones, can be found under PHILCASE.057.

REVIEWS...

ROSWELL PART II (Video Tape)

(by the *FUND FOR UFO RESEARCH*; P.O. Box 277, Mt. Rainier, MD 20712 U.S.A.; write for further details).

In 1991 THE FUND OF UFO RESEARCH put together a video tape consisting of interviews with a dozen or so of the best witnesses to the famous Roswell crashed saucer event, in an effort to bring the matter to the attention of both the general public and U.S. Congress.

However on seeing the video the Senate Government Affairs Committee was not motivated to take up the matter. FUFOR – having already supplied some \$50,000.00 for research into Roswell – has decided to assemble an improved second version called “ROSWELL: PART II”. This 90-minute video is narrated and produced by Fred Whiting, PR consultant for FUFOR. Several interviewers (all pro-ETHers) also take part in the documentary.

Certainly, this is a well-produced documentary, though how it will be regarded by congress and by any influential scientific community is another matter.

With one exception, none of the 28 “witnesses” referred to in the video has seen the alleged ET bodies, and only a handful have seen or handled the UFO fragments. By far the greatest part of the testimony is from second or third-hand witnesses who had some involvement, however remote, in the case. It is this, apart from the absence of any “hardware” or bodies, that makes the Roswell crashed saucer case so weak. The witnesses speak openly & freely, with only a few questions from the interviewers, and seem to convey genuine recollections of events which may have been associated with the Roswell “crash”. The difficulty is that there is no documentation from 1947 (the time of the crash) which would support their memories, and in places there are indications that these people, sincere though they may be, have merely recalled events that occurred some years after Roswell and transposed them back in time to 1947.

One example is Frank Joyce, a radio announcer, who recalls the rancher

Mac Brazel changing his original story soon after the crash and saying “The little green men weren’t green”. There was no concept of “little green men” (or any coloured ETs) in 1947. This only came a few years later with the Scully book concerning an entirely different crashed saucer story. Joyce is simply recalling a remark told to him long after Roswell and backdating it.

The story of Lydia Sleppy, the teletype operator whose original account first appeared as long ago as 1974, has “improved” over the years. I have now seen at least four versions, all different, of the interrupt she allegedly got on her machine. In this latest one she mentions the FBI. None of the previous three mention the FBI, so why has the FBI suddenly appeared? Is this an extra puff inserted by later ufologists to try and further emphasise official intervention in, and suppression of, the Roswell story?

With so many USAF flights constantly taking place in and out of Roswell (which was then a storage depot for the early atomic bombs and was thus under continuous high security) how can the surviving – and aging – military personnel who saw boxes of classified material being loaded possibly remember which actual flights they took part in at this later stage? In some cases these men are not even alive; their stories being told secondhand by a widow or daughter, with absolutely no certainty that the events had any connection with, or even occurred during, the approximate 3-day span of the Roswell event. For instance, it is known that there were a large number, literally many hundreds, of UFO sightings in nearby areas of New Mexico over the years 1947-1952, as well as odd reports of “crashes” and other strange “landings”. How do we know that these witnesses, so many years later, are not simply combining and confusing these reports with the original Roswell story, thereby coming up with a superficially convincing narrative?

Another problem is contamination from being constantly re-interviewed, reading UFO books, seeing TV documentaries on the case, undergoing hypnotic regression (as some have), and perhaps being prompted by credulous crashed flying saucer believers to pad out their stories.

The video paints the picture of two separate crashes, at locations 175 miles apart, one even leaving behind a LIVE E.T (whose subsequent fate is unknown). The one and only firsthand witness to this second crash is a certain Gerald Anderson who once lived in the area and who was only 5 years old at the time. Anderson first surfaced as a witness in early 1990 after seeing a T.V. documentary featuring Roswell. His narrative, lasting some 40 minutes, is so detailed with every conceivable description of the machine, the bodies, military people, their names, ranks etc. that even some ardent crashed saucer believers are now sceptical of him. He comes across as either someone with the most astonishing 40-year memory ever known (in which case he should get into the GUINNESS BOOK OF RECORDS) or else as a jolly good teller of tall tales. However, he is said to have passed a polygraph test, which may well indicate what value we should place on such tests. For Anderson’s, and FUFOR’s own sake I recommend that if the UFO community genuinely wants Roswell to gain scientific acceptance then in no circumstances should Mr. Anderson ever dare testify before congress!

Several witnesses allege that the USAF made death threats against their families if they “talked”; one, a local mortician called Glenn Dennis, even claiming that military police threatened him that if he didn’t keep his mouth shut he would “make good dog food”. Yet talk they eventually did, and no mysterious deaths have resulted. In fact, some witnesses even “talked” straightaway in 1947, and even they apparently survived into a comfortable old age; these death-threat allegations sound more likely to be the result of hearing and reading too much UFO “spy fiction” than anything else. It is pertinent to ask what powers the US authorities had to stop anyone, civilian or military, telling about an extraterrestrial visit if it really occurred; but nobody thought to consider such trivia in the documentary. Meanwhile, countless abductees continue telling of their encounters with ETs without anyone in

authority making death threats against THEM. Why the difference?

So we must now sit and wait for the Congressional Committee, if they can find time between other more important matters, to have a second look at the Roswell "story". I predict there will be some bitterly disappointed faces among the US UFO community, but at least they cannot be said to have failed for lack of trying.

Christopher D. Allan.

VISIONS OF CE IV.

For those who attended the 6th International UFO Congress in Sheffield in 1991, you may well remember the stage performance of the Scottish rock band CE IV. For those of you that didn't attend then here is another chance to perhaps listen to CE IV's unique brand of music.

"VISIONS OF CE IV" is their latest release. This 46-minute long cassette contains 10 songs. Melodies such as "New Gods", the haunting "Visions", "Warminster Nights" and "Down on the Outside" present the band's views on ufology. Personally my favourite out of the 10 tracks is "MIB Man".

CE IV were formed in Glasgow in 1984, born out of the members' interest in both music and the UFO phenomenon. All of the band members are quite heavily involved with Ufology with strong links to UFO organisations at both home and abroad. All of their material is original and is based on UFO events, sightings, experiences, etc. CE IV have two other tapes available: "ABDUCTION" and "SHADOW OF MAN". All tapes cost just £7.00 including p&p. All monies should be made payable to "CE IV" and for-

warded to: "CE IV" 102 Ferness Oval, Glasgow, Scotland. G21 3SE.

If you like rock music and UFO's, then CE IV should be right up your street.

Philip Mantle

BLAISE

UFO BRIGANTIA illustrator and professional artist Kevin Flannery is in his own band called "BLAISE". This band is slightly different from CE IV as they mix both UFO's and Arthurian-type mythology into their lyrics. "High Ground" is their first cassette which includes narration and music combined. Songs such as "Arrival", "Excalibur", and "Hibernation" quite easily describe the band's unique treatment of their chosen subject matter.

With a slightly 1970's sound to their music "Excalibur" was easily my favourite track. BLAISE also provide a 9-page program with their tapes which includes all of the lyrics and is complete with the usual high standard of Kevin's illustrations. At the moment we have no price for this tape, but interested parties should write for more information to:

Kevin Flannery; 116 Repton Road, Hartshorne, Burton-Upon-Trent, Staffs. DE11 7AE.

Philip Mantle.

ALIEN IDENTITIES

(Ancient Insights into Modern UFO Phenomena) by Richard L. Thompson - GOVARDHAN HILL PUBLISHING.

Hitherto, I had never heard of Richard Thompson, and now I wish I had

heard of him years ago, because this book is brilliant. His work is both universal and detailed, yet put over in very readable, layman's way, and with a tentative style that allows the reader to come to grips with the many discrepant data to be found in UFO reports. Indeed, the whole overview is almost like a version of 'UFOs Explained', but not a la Philip Klass: more a way of demonstrating from empirical data that UFOs are both extra-terrestrial and interdimensional, coupled with a strong, spiritual/religious element.

How does the author arrive at such a disturbing conclusion? Quite simply, he is a scholar of the Sanskrit Writings of the Vedic culture of ancient India, and as such, he has compared the descriptions of the 'Vimanas', (ancient flying machines) and the entities who flew in them, to modern-day UFO reports. The similarities are really quite astonishing, even when one allows for cultural differences: the abductions, the injuries, the intimidation, the disinformation, the contactees, the flouting of physical laws, the absurdities, the OBEs and NDEs and the transmigration of souls (not necessarily human ones!); even down to governmental liaison with aliens!? In fact, much of Thompson's work reflects the utterances of the Witness Support Group as well as according very well with the ANAMNESIS data. Furthermore, you will note that the spiritual theme of this book runs parallel to the EMBARGO HYPOTHESIS developed independently by close-encounter witness, Bill Dillon, and the synopsis of which appears in the READERS WRITE column of this edition; it all fits like a glove.

I highly commend this book to the readership. Ken.

REMINDER

To Those Members Whose Subscriptions Are Now Due

Arnold West wishes to remind those affected that if they renew their subscriptions by the end of January 1994 **at the latest**, they will continue to enjoy the usual benefits of membership: ie. bi-monthly receipt of the UFO Times, access to BUFORA's Library plus entry into the London Lectures at half-price, etc. However, if subscriptions renewal is not forthcoming by the end of January, BUFORA Ltd cannot guarantee the continuity of these services after that period. To ensure the continuation of these, therefore, please send your subscription to Arnold at the new BUFORA address: **B.M. BUFORA, London WC1N 3XX**

UFO NEWSFILE

Keep in touch with all the latest UFO stories in the press, from the UK and abroad.

Subscription: £5.00 for BUFORA members and £6.00 for non-members.

Available from BUFORA (NF), B.M. BUFORA, London WC1N 3XX

Published bi-monthly

Readers

Write

Express your views by sending your
correspondence to:

**The editor, UFO Times,
Suite 1, The Leys,
2c Leyton Road,
Harpenden, Herts,
AL5 2TL**

UFO ABDUCTEES GO HOME!

Dear Ken,

In the latest UFO Times you ask for opinions on the subject of "counselling" witnesses. While, naturally, one must talk sympathetically with witnesses, I think that to set up some kind of counselling service is a profound mistake.

Most, if not all of us, are not medically qualified to understand the mental state of a witness, and could easily do more harm than good. It only needs one witness to end up in the psycho-ward of a mental hospital and start blabbing about being "counselled" by BUFORA, and some one could find themselves in serious trouble with the medical profession; or lawyers even. Possibly worse, the whole thing could end up in the Sunday newspapers.

My advice would be: "Don't touch this thing with a bargepole. It is a potential time-bomb that could blow up in your face."

Stick to investigations.

Yours sincerely,

Roy Sandbach.

Ed's comment: Thank you for your advice Roy. As it happens, in the Quantock case I wrote to two of BUFORA's medical "experts", but neither bothered to answer my correspondence concerning the medical/psychological plight of the witness: so much for professional help and guidance – physician heal thyself! (By the way boys, have you found a cure to the common cold yet?) Of course, investigators could refer their traumatised witness to the Samaritans, but as I understand the situation, these people

are, in the main, untrained volunteers; just like UFO investigators in fact. In the final analysis then, BUFORA is still left to cope with the problem on its own, with no end or solution in sight! As for the Sunday newspapers and lawyers, etc., these hyenas of the Fourth Estate do not exist for the protection and care of the people, but merely to make money out of them.

Dear Sir,

I entirely agree with the views expressed by Hilary Evans in UT25.

Contactees and cultists have led ufology astray before, and now the so-called abduction experience looks like doing so too. I believe the stories of abductions have little, if anything, to do with real ufology. This is a field of study best left to the professional sociologist; not the ufologists.

Therapists and counsellors are quite right in rejecting the objective content of these stories, as they would be in rejecting other wild and unlikely fantasies related to them by people seeking treatment.

By identifying the name of BUFORA too closely with 'witness support' it will not continue to be associated with scientific research into UFOs.

Yours respectfully,

D. Myers.

§ Ed's comment: another group of people who really capture my admiration is "sociologists" – quite frankly I doubt if they would recognise phenomena [social or otherwise!] if they fell over them. Next critic please.

ELSIE OAKENSEN TELLS US HOW IT REALLY IS!

To: the Editor, UFO Times,

I read your editorial and the letter from Hilary Evans with great interest.

I have no intention of taking Mr. Evans to task regarding how truthful or fanciful he believes UFO witnesses to be. He is entitled to his own opinions on the subject and if, like the media, to exaggerate and sensationalise is the only way he feels he can come to terms with something he has not personally experienced, then I admire him for at least trying to understand. Towards the end of his letter, if my interpretation is correct, he makes a plea that witnesses should not be BUFORA's responsibility.

It is quite possible that many witnesses would agree with him, but for an entirely different reason.

At the time of my close-encounter I had never heard of UFOs, BUFORA or its investigators and researchers. I was completely ignorant about the subject and had no wish to ever become involved in what was then described to me as the UFO phenomenon. As far as I was concerned I saw something strange on my way home from work and my journey had taken twice as long as it usually did.

Nine years later, still feeling the same way about it, I was told by BUFORA that I was an abductee, then a contactee, now a repeater – and to understand what it was all about I then decided to search for other people who had had a similar experience.

To my delight, 12 years after my sighting, I received a letter from Ken Phillips inviting me to meet with a group of close-encounter witnesses so that we could all talk through our experiences. It was also made perfectly clear that although the meeting was to be videoed everything would remain **CONFIDENTIAL TO THOSE WHO HAD TAKEN PART.**

The first two meetings were held at Janice Georgiou's home and overseen by Ken, then (as I understood it at the time) BUFORA decided to provide a meeting place at the LBS so that we could continue to meet regularly, talking through our experiences, counselling each other and, as far as I was concerned, the best reason of all – to realise that we were not alone.

The meetings were to be led by the witnesses themselves, going off in any direction which any of us felt understanding was required. New witnesses were invited to join us and we 'old-stagers' were able to assure them that immediately following their experiences they need not, through uncertainty, go through such a traumatic period as we had done.

The group continued and I felt it very worthwhile to spend 5 hours of travelling time in order to be with other witnesses, but sadly, as time passed, non-members were encouraged (not by us) to sit in on our meetings – and the original well-meaning aims of the group disappeared completely, especially the CONFIDENTIALITY.

We would arrive to find reporters present with tape-recorders at the ready, students gleaning information for theses and radio reporters seeking interviews for future programmes on the UFO subject. This year I missed 2 meetings because of illness and was told that at one of these there was a film crew present.

"So what's wrong with that?" I have been asked. Well, nothing at all if you've been consulted beforehand and can then decide whether or not to attend such a meeting. But to arrive hoping to be able to talk through a traumatic experience with people who definitely understand how you feel, and who can give you some kind of hope that it is not anything to worry about, is one thing. Walking into something like that at a first meeting can be even more upsetting to some people than the actual encounter in the first place.

I attended the WSG (Witness Support Group) meeting which unfortunately coincided with the preview of 'FIRE IN THE SKY' and was amazed to see so many people present, but a complete stranger told me that after the film, 'someone' had announced that a WSG meeting was being held and that anyone who was interested could go along. Then one of these people actually rearranged our meeting place because he considered we had been allocated a room which was then too small to accommodate all of them. All this, as far as I could see, was done to the complete amazement of the few witnesses already present and before Ken had arrived.

Since reading Hilary Evans' letter I wonder if that was a BUFORA member proudly showing off the latest 'jewel in its crown'?

That particular meeting, in my opinion, had sunk to the lowest level of counselling there could possibly be. It was not a WSG meeting. We were completely outnumbered and most of the time it was dominated by two 'visitors' discussing a letter which had been received and which was read out for the WITNESSES' comments. Then a lady 'visitor' objected to the presence of a radio reporter, and after he had been asked to leave, informed everyone that this was NOT the way in which our meeting should be conducted.

How I agree with her. It really was a shambles! Making a comparison with the original one 3 years before, the WSG had certainly lost its way because of outside interference. A rethink of policy is definitely required.

Fortunately after that the LBS decided to go into the conference market and the cost of a room became too high, so Janice again kindly offered the use of a room in her home. This of course limited the size of the meeting. But even on this occasion too I was horrified at an outsider's intrusion. The first proposal to be put to the group was from a member of BUFORA' Council who had asked that the WSG members should defer any decision they intended to make at that meeting about "splitting from BUFORA" until after the Council had itself met the following week.

No wonder the WSG meetings have got out of hand. Here was a request for us to do something we had not even thought of doing, let alone discussed as a group.

I wonder how an alcoholic would feel if I, a teetotaler, arrived at a meeting of the A.A. unannounced, complete with notepad and pen and a tape-recorder at the ready. Then, at a later date, he read in a newspaper or a weekly magazine my interpretation of what I thought he said, and which he had not been asked to approve? Just like the close-encounter witnesses do when this happens to them, I am sure.

Whilst some people's need for counselling could perhaps be classed as self-inflicted, the "abductees are in a class apart." (Quote from Hilary Evans, Sheffield Conference 1993). We have no control over that which has put us into that category. Those of us who have undergone this life-changing experience are the only people who can fully understand what it means to be a close-encounter witness. No-one from outside that experience can possibly tell us which way our meetings should go.

In my opinion, the WSG meetings must be witness-driven as originally decided. They should be organised by the witnesses themselves and the content of each meeting should be determined by them, so that they can get from each other information which they can accept. Then gradually they will come to understand what has happened to them and the reason for their encounters.

We must be allowed in future to meet together as witnesses only. Let us decide as a group who to invite to our meetings and when. This will include everyone when there is information to pass on.

Until then please respect our wishes to have our discussions in private and in confidence if we need to, and to give us credit for being able to organise ourselves and to counsel each other. Also, be patient. When the time is right you will be told. This way we shall be able to do what we have to do confidently and without disruption.

As far as BUFORA and the WSG are concerned my personal feelings are that our aims are complementary. UFOs are world-wide and whether 'nuts and bolts' or 'woven fantasies' they are all classed as UNIDENTIFIEDS. No matter what anyone thinks, in our different ways we are all working towards the same goal, viz: to understand the UFO phenomenon.

Elsie Oakensen.

Ed's comment: *Elsie is a very intelligent and well-adjusted person who was the former head of the Daventry Teachers Centre in Northants. I have printed Elsie's letter in full in order that the readership can obtain an appreciation of how the close-encounter witnesses view us, the ufologists. There are more disturbing characteristics involved in this situation but I shall not go into them here since I have already based my editorial upon them at the front of this issue.*

UFO - VIDEO - EXCHANGE

UFO-Documentaries from around the globe on the PAL-system. More than 30 hours. Exchange 1:1 or for sale. Uncommercial use only! Only for research purposes! Write to:

Werner Walter, Eisenacher Weg 16, 68309 Mannheim, Germany

MARGARET FRY SHOOTS DOWN THE CAMPBELL-EVANS AXIS:-

[Margaret is currently running an introductory course on UFOs at the Llandrillo Technical College, Colwyn Bay, Clwyd. For further details, write to Margaret at: 'Fry's Croft', Parc Aelas, Llangernyw, Clwyd, LL22-8PJ, N. Wales.]

Dear Editor,

I listened to Hilary Evans at Sheffield, and now I've read his letter. It seems to me that he and Stuart Campbell are stuck in some sort of time warp.

When I first became a member of BUFORA in late December 1966, I would attend the monthly London meetings and people would ask me why I was interested in flying saucers. I would reply that I had seen them. They would accept that with interest, but the idea that these craft could be piloted by intelligent beings, worse still, alien beings, was disregarded with English* disdain as "American mass-hysteria". We British, of course, wouldn't be bothered with that type of hype.

[* Nice one Margaret! That's exactly what 'Er indoors, as well as my 'minder', Judith Jaafar, would say - Celts of the world unite!]

When, in my forthright colonial way I'd say, "What nonsense, if they are craft, they have to be manoeuvred by some form of intelligence seemingly far superior to ourselves," they'd immediately shy away as though I was an alien myself. Come to think of it, they probably thought, "Well, she has got a funny accent!"

Have BUFORA researchers/investigators progressed beyond that stage? Thankfully, and with relief, I can say a definite - yes, but only in the last 6 - 8 years.

Mr. Evans talks of "having a moral obligation to ensure that they (CE4 cases) receive appropriate professional support". Where does he live? In an academic cuckoo land? I hope to God that not too many UFO contactees/abductees approach him for help. This sort of thing has happened to too many people worldwide for men like Mr. Evans to loftily talk of fantasy and folklore. Folklore in by-gone eras was founded on close-encounters with UFOs and aliens, for these have been coming to our Earth for aeons.

It is absurd that BUFORA members should go on year after year pretending that this phenomenon is not a reality, even camouflaging it to themselves with theories of rare natural phenomena and projected fantasies; whilst admitting some people have had these, or genuinely mistaken ball-lightning. In a court of law, murderers would have long since been convicted on that evidence of 5 to 8% of good cases.

Margaret Fry.

Ed's comment: there's no doubt in my mind that the UFO phenomenon is a real one, but like politics history and religion, it's all a matter of interpretation - having said that though it is always a sound investment to examine the psychic, spiritual and higher-dimensional aspects of our subject (and not get bogged down in classical physics!), for therein lies the reality of the phenomenon.

Dear Editor,

Herewith my latest idea in a very basic form. To me, it does seem to offer a solution to the paranormal/UFO behaviour depending on personal perception and interpretation. I mentioned this idea to Jacques Vallée and he seemed to be rather taken by it:-

PHILOSOPHICAL UFOLOGY - THE 'EMBARGO HYPOTHESIS'

Here, the paranormal/UFO stimulus is seen to serve as a natural **EMBARGO**. Its receivers [witnesses] find themselves being essentially 'means tested'. Perhaps it is Jung's 'Collective Unconscious' which is the fundamental base from which our subject springs? Assuming this to be so, could it possibly be that this 'energy' needs to sustain itself or guarantee its own future by manufacturing, from our level, a certain quality of energy: what we ourselves would perhaps refer to as 'a higher form of consciousness'?

With this notion in place, we could perceive the universe, with its independent, intelligent species contained in it, as being 'the school', with all its 'pupils' being supplied with an equal chance to eventually aspire to full graduation and thus integration into the body of the prime mover itself.

Present theory predicts that the universe will eventually collapse. Perhaps the Collective Unconscious would not be effected, and in some fantastic way, play a crucial role in the formation and structure of the incoming universe: this system thus going on and on!

Basically, it is the **PHYSICS** of the stimulus we need to grasp in order to

get ourselves 'on board' and thus begin to ensure ourselves a place in cosmic history.

Bill Dillon

Ed's comment:-

*Once again, it has taken a close-encounter witness to show ufologists the way forward in future research! Bill's hypothesis is very much in accord with the ancient Vedic philosophies about the universe and **all the intelligent species in it, both on other planets and in other dimensions**. As it happens, I have reviewed in this issue of U.T. an excellent book on this very subject by Richard Thompson, and in the light of the **EMBARGO HYPOTHESIS**, I would urge everyone interested in this aspect of ufology to invest in Thompson's tome.*

UFO NEWSFILE

Keep in touch with all the latest UFO stories in the press, from the UK and abroad.

Subscription: £5.00 for BUFORA members and £6.00 for non-members.

Available from BUFORA (NF), Suite 1, The Leys, 2c Leyton Rd, Harpenden, Herfordshire, AL5 2TL

**Published
bi-monthly**

NEWSDESK

Various forms of paranormal phenomena seems to have broken out among members of the WSG of late, including Marian phenomena, power surges and photographic anomalies. It is hoped that further enquiries will yield more data by early 1994 (October '93).

CONTACT CORNER

Want to meet BUFORA members in your area? Then Contact Corner is for you!

Dear Editor,

I would like to find out if there are any BUFORA members in my area.

Yours sincerely,

Mrs. K. M. Johnson (member), 9,
Pencraig Terrace, Brynithal,
Abertillery, Gwent, NP3-2HP.

Ed: try Kerry Blower of Cwmbran.

Dear Editor,

I am writing in the hope that you will be able to put me in touch with local BUFORA members in my area.

Trying to talk about this subject with family and friends is usually nigh on impossible, with attitudes ranging from ridicule to complete ignorance.

I do hope that my age (19) does not have a negative effect as I believe that I can make a strong contribution to a local group. I don't have any formal qualifications that are of any significant relevance but I do have access to a computer; also I have my own transport.

Yours sincerely,

Mr. Gary Palmer, 150, Loddon
Bridge Rd., Woodley, Reading,
Berks. RG5-4AB. Tel: 0734-696244.

[Ed: try Robert France in Reading.

By the way readers, under the 'Data Protection Act', neither BUFORA office nor I are allowed to supply the names and addresses of members to anyone: and that includes BUFORA members as well! I am truly sorry about this anti-social constriction, but there is simply nothing I can do about it.]


Write to:

Contact Corner
UFO Times
B.M. BUFORA, London
WC1N 3XX

DIARY

FORTHCOMING LECTURES

PLEASE NOTE: BUFORA lectures now take place at the new venue of Westminster University London, which is located on Marylebone Road, London, NW1 (Opposite the Planetarium).



Saturday 5th February 1994: 'Early Trends in Ufology'.

Speaker: Bernard Delair, M.A.

Bernard is president of Contact International and past editor of 'The UFO Register', and spent many years as a member of Contact's Data Research Team. His talk will focus on some influential early cases.

Saturday 5th March 1994: 'American Abductions'.

Speaker: Rosemary Guiley (USA). (Witness Support - 2pm)

Alien intervention is more readily accepted in the States. Rosemary, author and journalist, will present an overview of topical USA material, including the controversial 'Linda' abduction claim, plus the latest hot news.

Saturday 9th April: BUFORA ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING* followed by videos presented by Miles Johnston.

* Note that this meeting starts at the earlier time of 6.00 pm.

Miles plans to show an exciting selection of footage from SKY TV, Area 51, Belgium UFO Flap, Bob Lazar, Anti-gravity & Face on Mars, etc. (Possible small extra charge for video equipment hire).

All meetings are now held at the new venue of King's College London, Campden Hill Rd. Kensington, W.8. Nearest underground stations are: Kensington High St. (approx. 7 mins.) & Notting Hill Gate (approx. 10 mins.). With the exception of the A.G.M., all meetings begin at 6.30 pm.

NOTE THERE IS ALSO A REGIONAL MEETING ON SAT. 22nd JANUARY 1994 AT 'THE FRIENDS MEETING HOUSE' (QUAKERS) NORTHAMPTON - LECTURE & SPEAKER TO BE ANNOUNCED.

OTHER EVENTS:-

TRAVEL & EARTH MYSTERIES SOCIETY (TEMS) usually meet on a Sunday fortnight basis and for those interested in either attending meetings or becoming members should write to: ASSAP, 20, Paul St., Frome, Somerset, BA11-1DX. This society is very active, particularly in the area of field trips, and covers a wide range of EM/psychic phenomena - highly recommended.